

W0. Introduction

W0.1

(W0.1) Give a general description of and introduction to your organization.

As of December 31, 2019 the Continental Corporation consists of 581 companies, including non-controlled companies in addition to the parent company Continental AG. The Continental team is made up of 241,458 employees at a total of 595 locations in 59 countries and markets. The postal addresses of companies under our control are defined as locations. Continental has been divided into the group sectors Automotive Technologies, Rubber Technologies and Powertrain Technologies since January 1, 2020. These sectors comprise five business areas with 23 business units. A business area or business unit is classified according to technologies, product groups and services. The business areas and business units have overall responsibility for their business, including their results. Overall responsibility for managing the company is borne by the Executive Board of Continental Aktiengesellschaft (AG). Each business area is represented by one Executive Board member. An exception is the Powertrain business area, which has had its own management since January 1, 2019, following its transformation into an independent legal entity. To ensure a unified business strategy in the Automotive Technologies group sector, the Automotive Board was established on April 1, 2019, with a member of the Executive Board as “spokesman.” The new board is intended to speed up decision-making processes and generate synergies from the closer ties between the Autonomous Mobility and Safety business area and the Vehicle Networking and Information business area.

With the exception of Corporate Purchasing, the central functions of Continental AG are represented by the chairman of the Executive Board, the chief financial officer and the Executive Board member responsible for Human Relations. They take on the functions required to manage the corporation across business areas. These include, in particular, finance, controlling, compliance, law, IT, sustainability, quality and environment.

W0.2

(W0.2) State the start and end date of the year for which you are reporting data.

	Start date	End date
Reporting year	January 1 2019	December 31 2019

W0.3

(W0.3) Select the countries/areas for which you will be supplying data.

- Austria
- Belgium
- Brazil
- Chile
- China
- Czechia
- Ecuador
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- India
- Italy
- Japan
- Malaysia
- Mexico
- Philippines
- Poland
- Portugal
- Republic of Korea
- Romania
- Russian Federation
- Serbia
- Singapore
- Slovenia
- South Africa
- Spain
- Sweden
- Turkey
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- United States of America

W0.4

(W0.4) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

EUR

W0.5

(W0.5) Select the option that best describes the reporting boundary for companies, entities, or groups for which water impacts on your business are being reported.

Companies, entities or groups over which operational control is exercised

W0.6

(W0.6) Within this boundary, are there any geographies, facilities, water aspects, or other exclusions from your disclosure?

No

W1. Current state

W1.1

(W1.1) Rate the importance (current and future) of water quality and water quantity to the success of your business.

	Direct use importance rating	Indirect use importance rating	Please explain
Sufficient amounts of good quality freshwater available for use	Important	Important	While freshwater is not used as an input factor in our products, supplies of freshwater are nevertheless important for both our direct and indirect production processes. In addition to the small quantities of high quality freshwater required for washing and drinking by employees, water resources are important in our direct production processes, particularly within the Tire Division, for cooling as well as for galvanic processes. Supplies of freshwater are also important in the production of many of our critical raw materials including especially natural rubber, various metals (i.e. steel), plastics and resins. Dependency on freshwater resources will become increasingly important for our indirect operations through the procurement of natural rubber in particular, where an initial footprinting assessment indicates most of our water exposure is held. This dependency is especially vulnerable in some dryer areas new to rubber cultivation where physical risks are of greatest concern.
Sufficient amounts of recycled, brackish and/or produced water available for use	Not very important	Not very important	Currently we do not depend in any significant way on brackish or recycled water for our direct operations, where use of such sources is nearly zero except in a few isolated plants for cooling purposes. An initial assessment of our supply chain also indicates that such water sources are of lesser importance as compared to freshwater, and where it is used it is primarily for cooling and steam production in the processing of raw materials. An assessment of our indirect water footprint indicates that most of our water exposure lies in key raw materials such as natural rubber that rely primarily on freshwater, and we do not anticipate that this will change in the near future. However, we do expect to expand our use of recycled water within our direct operations in select locations, particularly those facing acute water stress.

W1.2

(W1.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

	% of sites/facilities/operations	Please explain
Water withdrawals – total volumes	76-99	All production sites and R&D sites apply a certified management system according to ISO 14001 and report their data in our global KPI tool. Data is collected on a weekly basis and aggregated for annual reporting.
Water withdrawals – volumes by source	76-99	All production sites and R&D sites apply a certified management system according to ISO 14001 and report their data in our global KPI tool. Data is collected on a weekly basis and aggregated for annual reporting.
Entrained water associated with your metals & mining sector activities - total volumes [only metals and mining sector]	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>
Produced water associated with your oil & gas sector activities - total volumes [only oil and gas sector]	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>
Water withdrawals quality	76-99	All production sites and R&D sites apply a certified management system according to ISO 14001 and report their data in our global KPI tool. Data is collected on a weekly basis and aggregated for annual reporting.
Water discharges – total volumes	76-99	All production sites and R&D sites apply a certified management system according to ISO 14001 and report their data in our global KPI tool. Data is collected on a weekly basis and aggregated for annual reporting.
Water discharges – volumes by destination	76-99	The is KPI is monitored locally on an as-needed basis for sites where additional monitoring parameters are required for legal reasons, and are not aggregated at Corporate level. Usually this indicator is monitored on a weekly basis, although slight variations in monitoring frequency may occur in some locations where legal requirements differ.
Water discharges – volumes by treatment method	51-75	The is KPI is monitored locally on an as-needed basis for sites where additional monitoring parameters are required for legal reasons, and are not aggregated at Corporate level. Usually this indicator is monitored on a weekly basis, although slight variations in monitoring frequency may occur in some locations where legal requirements differ.
Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters	51-75	The is KPI is monitored locally on an as-needed basis for sites where additional monitoring parameters are required for legal reasons, and are not aggregated at Corporate level. Usually this indicator is monitored on a weekly basis, although slight variations in monitoring frequency may occur in some locations where legal requirements differ.
Water discharge quality – temperature	51-75	The is KPI is monitored locally on an as-needed basis for sites where additional monitoring parameters are required for legal reasons, and are not aggregated at Corporate level. Usually this indicator is monitored on a weekly basis, although slight variations in monitoring frequency may occur in some locations where legal requirements differ.
Water consumption – total volume	76-99	All production sites and R&D sites apply a certified management system according to ISO 14001 and report their data in our global KPI tool. Data is collected on a weekly basis and aggregated for annual reporting.
Water recycled/reused	26-50	Monitoring of recycled/reused water is only undertaken within our Tire Division and is conducted on a weekly basis.
The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers	100%	In all plants operated by Continental, WASH Services are provided to our workers as per our company policy. An internal audit of our production locations with regard to this and other health and safety policies is conducted on an annual basis.

W1.2b

(W1.2b) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, and how do these volumes compare to the previous reporting year?

	Volume (megaliters/year)	Comparison with previous reporting year	Please explain
Total withdrawals	19547387	Lower	Production was slightly lower than in previous year and resulted in a slightly lower withdrawal figure compared with the previous year's figure. In the future, volumes are expected to remain roughly stable with a slight decrease possible due to internal efficiency measures. Water reduction projects showed first positive impacts.
Total discharges	10386065	Higher	A slightly higher discharge figure resulted from efficiency measures and an increase in water recycling compared to previous years. In the future, volumes are expected to remain roughly stable with a slight decrease possible due to further internal efficiency measures requiring fewer withdrawals and an uptake in the use of recycled water in some locations.
Total consumption	9161322	Lower	Production decrease along with a reduction in water discharge resulted in a slightly lower consumption figure compared with the previous year. In the future, volumes are expected to remain roughly stable with a slight decrease possible due to internal efficiency measures.

W1.2d

(W1.2d) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress and provide the proportion.

	Withdrawals are from areas with water stress	% withdrawn from areas with water stress	Comparison with previous reporting year	Identification tool	Please explain
Row 1	Yes	11-25	About the same	WRI Aqueduct	2018 was the first year in which we assessed and classified our production sites based on various indicators covering physical risks, quality risks as well as reputational and regulatory risks as part of a global water risk assessment. The WRI Aqueduct tool was selected to assess water stress for all basins within which we have production facilities. The assessment was carried out for the indicator baseline water stress (BWS) using data from the model's baseline year (2010) as well as for future projections of water stress in 2020, 2030 and 2040. Based on the outcome of this assessment, we determined that total production facility withdrawals from basins designated as suffering from extreme water stress constituted 15% of the total. Priority countries include Mexico, India, South Africa and China. A re-evaluation of the tool from the initial assessment in 2018 revealed one new site considered high risk in China with one previously identified site removed due to its closure in 2019.

W1.2h

(W1.2h) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

	Relevance	Volume (megaliters/year)	Comparison with previous reporting year	Please explain
Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes	Relevant	3824780	Lower	Several technical measures in production led to effective water savings for our surface and renewable groundwater sources compared with the previous year's figure. In the future, withdrawal volumes are expected to remain roughly stable with a slight decrease possible due to internal efficiency measures.
Brackish surface water/Seawater	Not relevant	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	Water from this source is not used by our organisation as supplies from other sources are sufficient to meet our production needs. We do not expect any withdrawals from this source in the future.
Groundwater – renewable	Relevant	6087946	Lower	Several technical measures in production led to effective water savings for our surface and renewable groundwater sources compared with the previous year's figure. In the future, withdrawal volumes are expected to remain roughly stable with a slight decrease possible due to internal efficiency measures.
Groundwater – non-renewable	Not relevant	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	Water from this source is not used by our organisation as supplies from other sources are sufficient to meet our production needs. We do not expect any withdrawals from this source in the future.
Produced/Entrained water	Relevant	310707	This is our first year of measurement	Water from this source is used by our organisation to support supplies from other sources sufficiently to meet our production needs.
Third party sources	Relevant	9323954	Lower	Several technical measures in production led to effective water savings for our surface and renewable groundwater sources compared with the previous year's figure. In the future, withdrawal volumes are expected to remain roughly stable with a slight decrease possible due to internal efficiency measures.

W1.2i

(W1.2i) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

	Relevance	Volume (megaliters/year)	Comparison with previous reporting year	Please explain
Fresh surface water	Relevant but volume unknown	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	Although we have limited discharge to fresh surface water sources for select sites, this operation takes place on an as-needed basis and is not common practice across the company as a whole. Therefore, monitored data on such discharge are not aggregated at the corporate level.
Brackish surface water/seawater	Not relevant	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	We do not use water from not discharge water to brackish surface water or seawater sources.
Groundwater	Relevant but volume unknown	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	Although we have limited discharge to groundwater sources for select sites, this operation takes place on an as-needed basis and is not common practice across the company as a whole. Therefore, monitored data on such discharge are not aggregated at the corporate level.
Third-party destinations	Relevant	10386065	Lower	Despite production growth, a slightly lower discharge figure resulted from efficiency measures and an increase in water recycling compared to previous years. It is corporate policy to discharge all wastewater to third-party destinations and therefore this indicator represents the vast majority of water discharged across the company as a whole. In the future, discharge volumes are expected to remain roughly stable with a slight decrease possible due to further internal efficiency measures requiring fewer withdrawals and an uptake in the use of recycled water in some locations.

W1.4

(W1.4) Do you engage with your value chain on water-related issues?

Yes, our suppliers

W1.4a

(W1.4a) What proportion of suppliers do you request to report on their water use, risks and/or management information and what proportion of your procurement spend does this represent?

Row 1

% of suppliers by number
51-75

% of total procurement spend
26-50

Rationale for this coverage

Continental uses EcoVadis software to obtain information from suppliers and prioritises those for water disclosure based on strategic materiality and environmental impact thresholds built into the software. All strategic suppliers meeting these criteria are included in the assessment. Suppliers are required to report environmental data indicators among others as a pre-condition for doing business with Continental and the terms are detailed in our supplier agreement.

Impact of the engagement and measures of success

All suppliers are required to provide information on the total product and/or raw material acquired by Continental on an annual basis with high water-impact products being flagged should further investigation be required. For the more targeted set of suppliers mentioned above, basic metrics with respect to water withdrawals and discharge are also requested. This information is used internally to assess sourcing and financial risks as well as to indicate hotspots for water and other environmental impacts.

Comment

W1.4b

(W1.4b) Provide details of any other water-related supplier engagement activity.

Type of engagement

Innovation & collaboration

Details of engagement

Educate suppliers about water stewardship and collaboration

% of suppliers by number

Less than 1%

% of total procurement spend

Less than 1%

Rationale for the coverage of your engagement

In a collaboration project with Tier 1 suppliers within the Bravo River Basin in Mexico, we have created a network within the regional production economy to promote resource efficiency and in particular water-use efficiency, which was one of the more important KPIs discussed as part of the engagement. The location of these suppliers within a designated high-risk water stressed basin was one of the primary motivations for selecting these suppliers in particular.

Impact of the engagement and measures of success

Measures to promote and monitor water-use efficiency across the network of suppliers were implemented with the aim of spreading awareness about the water topic and monitoring know-how throughout the group. Progress is assessed in terms of changes in water withdrawals at the site levels per unit of production, with initial results indicating that some reductions in water withdrawals per unit of production were achieved in addition to reduced total water input costs.

Comment

W2. Business impacts

W2.1

(W2.1) Has your organization experienced any detrimental water-related impacts?

No

W2.2

(W2.2) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

Yes, fines

W2.2a

(W2.2a) Provide the total number and financial value of all water-related fines.

Row 1

Total number of fines

5

Total value of fines

7055

% of total facilities/operations associated

0.1

Number of fines compared to previous reporting year

Lower

Comment

Fines were issued due to exceeding the limit values for wastewater discharge into the public sewage system.

W2.2b

(W2.2b) Provide details for all significant fines, enforcement orders and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations in the reporting year, and your plans for resolving them.

Type of penalty

Fine

Financial impact

7055

Country/Area & River basin

Please select

Type of incident

Effluent limit exceedances

Description of penalty, incident, regulatory violation, significance, and resolution

Fines were issued due to exceeding the limit values for wastewater discharge into the public sewage system.

W3. Procedures

W3.3

(W3.3) Does your organization undertake a water-related risk assessment?

Yes, water-related risks are assessed

W3.3a

(W3.3a) Select the options that best describe your procedures for identifying and assessing water-related risks.

Direct operations

Coverage

Full

Risk assessment procedure

Water risks are assessed as a standalone issue

Frequency of assessment

Annually

How far into the future are risks considered?

3 to 6 years

Type of tools and methods used

Tools on the market

Tools and methods used

Water Footprint Network Assessment tool

WRI Aqueduct

WWF Water Risk Filter

Comment

Supply chain

Coverage

Partial

Risk assessment procedure

Water risks are assessed as a standalone issue

Frequency of assessment

Annually

How far into the future are risks considered?

3 to 6 years

Type of tools and methods used

Tools on the market

Tools and methods used

Water Footprint Network Assessment tool

WRI Aqueduct

WWF Water Risk Filter

Comment

Other stages of the value chain

Coverage

None

Risk assessment procedure

<Not Applicable>

Frequency of assessment

<Not Applicable>

How far into the future are risks considered?

<Not Applicable>

Type of tools and methods used

<Not Applicable>

Tools and methods used

<Not Applicable>

Comment

W3.3b

(W3.3b) Which of the following contextual issues are considered in your organization's water-related risk assessments?

	Relevance & inclusion	Please explain
Water availability at a basin/catchment level	Relevant, always included	Water availability (quantity) risk is considered for our direct operations using two separate tools including the WRI Aqueduct Tool and WWF Water Risk Filter, although for site designation purposes we primarily use Aqueduct's Baseline Water Stress indicator. However, all other water quantity indicators are evaluated on an annual basis using both tools and included in our overall risk assessment.
Water quality at a basin/catchment level	Relevant, always included	Water quality at the facility site level as well as catchment level is considered for our direct operations using two separate tools including the WRI Aqueduct Tool and WWF Water Risk Filter, although we rely primarily on the Water Risk Filter due to the wide variety of water quality indicators for site designation purposes. However, all other water quality indicators are evaluated on an annual basis using both tools and included in our overall risk assessment.
Stakeholder conflicts concerning water resources at a basin/catchment level	Relevant, always included	Stakeholder conflicts in basins within which we have direct operations are evaluated on an annual basis in a limited manner based on assessing the reputational risk indicators used by the WRI Aqueduct Tool and WWF Water Risk Filter. However, due to the limited scope of these assessments we are currently evaluating alternatives to gain a deeper understanding of stakeholder issues.
Implications of water on your key commodities/raw materials	Relevant, always included	Implications of water risk (quantity and quality) are evaluated using the WRI Aqueduct Tool, WWF Water Risk Filter and Water Footprint Assessment Tool with different tools used depending on the indicator under consideration and designation required.
Water-related regulatory frameworks	Relevant, always included	Regulatory risks in basins within which we have direct operations are evaluated on an annual basis using the regulatory risk indicators available under the WRI Aqueduct Tool and WWF Water Risk Filter, including policy environment, scope of legal protections and enforcement.
Status of ecosystems and habitats	Relevant, always included	The status of ecosystem health and biodiversity in basins within which we have direct operations is evaluated on an annual basis using the biological and ecosystem indicators available under the WRI Aqueduct Tool and WWF Water Risk Filter, including threats to specific classes of wildlife and biodiversity as well as ambient water quality as an indicator of ecosystem health.
Access to fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services for all employees	Relevant, always included	The provision of WASH services to all Continental employees is corporate policy and the WASH facilities are audited using internal methods on an annual basis at production facilities to ensure compliance with this and other health and safety policies.
Other contextual issues, please specify	Please select	

W3.3c

(W3.3c) Which of the following stakeholders are considered in your organization's water-related risk assessments?

	Relevance & inclusion	Please explain
Customers	Not considered	Our current risk assessment focuses exclusively on our direct and indirect operations and does not consider possible water risks relating to our customers.
Employees	Relevant, always included	Safe, clean drinking water and adequate sanitation facilities are important for employees to operate effectively and maintain a healthy working environment. Therefore WASH services are provided to all Continental employees and verified periodically as part of our internal health and safety audits.
Investors	Not considered	Our current risk assessment focuses exclusively on our direct and indirect operations and does not consider possible water risks relating to our investors.
Local communities	Relevant, always included	We collect information from and coordinate our operations with local NGOs and community groups in order to mitigate possible negative impacts of our production and promote positive community relations. To date these risks and responses have been conducted on a site by site basis, however following our recent universal risk assessments we shall likely prioritize engagement based on the risk to the local basin through community water projects, for example.
NGOs	Relevant, always included	We collect information from and coordinate our operations with local NGOs and community groups in order to mitigate possible negative impacts of our production and promote positive community relations. These risks and responses are conducted on a site by site basis.
Other water users at a basin/catchment level	Relevant, not included	Our current risk assessment focuses exclusively on our direct and indirect operations and does not yet consider possible water risks relating to other water users in the basin. As we consider changes to our water policy in at-risk water basins within the next 2-3 years, however, we intend to include other water users where collaboration is necessary.
Regulators	Relevant, always included	We collect information from and coordinate our operations with local regulatory authorities in order to ensure compliance with local regulations. These risks and responses are conducted on a site by site basis.
River basin management authorities	Relevant, always included	We collect information on a site by site and country by country basis explore measures that will mitigate impact to operations.
Statutory special interest groups at a local level	Relevant, not included	Our current risk assessment focuses exclusively on our direct and indirect operations and does not yet consider possible water risks relating to special interest groups at the local level within these basins. As we consider changes to our water policy in at-risk water basins within the next 2-3 years, however, we intend to include local special interest groups where collaboration is necessary.
Suppliers	Relevant, always included	Implications of water risk (quantity and quality) are evaluated using the WRI Aqueduct Tool, WWF Water Risk Filter and Water Footprint Assessment Tool, with different tools used depending on the indicator under consideration and designation required. Suppliers located in basins exhibiting high levels of water stress are flagged, and initial engagement with suppliers on promoting water-efficiency internally has been piloted in some key basins (e.g. Mexico).
Water utilities at a local level	Not considered	Our current risk assessment focuses exclusively on our direct and indirect operations and does not consider possible water risks relating to local water utilities.
Other stakeholder, please specify	Please select	

W3.3d

(W3.3d) Describe your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and responding to water-related risks within your direct operations and other stages of your value chain.

We applied a variety of water risk assessment tools for the first time in 2018 in order to conduct an initial global assessment of our water risk profile using a wide range of indicators. This assessment was again updated in 2019. This involved using the WRI Aqueduct Tool and WWF Water Risk Filter to screen our global direct operations and Tier 1 suppliers for current and projected water quantity, water quality, regulatory and reputational risks. In addition, we used the Water Footprint Network's Water Footprint Assessment Tool to help support the quantification of water impacts for key raw materials within our supply chain. These tools were selected due to the wide range of indicators used as well as their general uptake among competitors within our industry in order to ensure consistency in the results. We intend to re-evaluate these indicators on an annual basis in order to take advantage of any updates to the publically available datasets and ensure accurate risk profiles for strategy planning purposes.

The initial analysis allowed us to determine which production sites and suppliers can be considered at risk from a water quantity or quality perspective. More specifically, we were able to identify basins designated as exhibiting "Extremely High Risk" of baseline water stress, which will be flagged for special attention in the future. The scope of this special attention depends on changes made to our current water policy and remains under discussion.

W4. Risks and opportunities

W4.1

(W4.1) Have you identified any inherent water-related risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

Yes, both in direct operations and the rest of our value chain

W4.1a

(W4.1a) How does your organization define substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

We consider substantive financial or strategic impact to our business to be defined as the highest level of baseline water stress as defined by our global WRI Aqueduct risk analysis (Level 5 - Extremely High Risk), which is a quantitative risk metric indicating the ratio of total annual water withdrawals to total annual renewable water supply within a defined basin. The highest risk level indicates that 80% or more of total water available is being withdrawn for human purposes. We do not currently recognize direct or indirect operational sites located in basins below the 80% threshold as subject to substantive risk, however we may modify this definition in the future to include more sites.

Based on our most recent Aqueduct analysis, six facilities within our direct operations fall within our high risk threshold while one of our priority suppliers (indirect operations) can be categorised as high risk according to our definition.

As a result of this analysis, many of our production sites located in Mexico have been targeted for special water-efficiency measures and engagement with the water topic due to the large number of facilities located within high-risk basins.

W4.1b

(W4.1b) What is the total number of facilities exposed to water risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and what proportion of your company-wide facilities does this represent?

	Total number of facilities exposed to water risk	% company-wide facilities this represents	Comment
Row 1	6	1-25	Roughly 2 % of all production sites are impacted with regard to the highest level of baseline water stress as defined by WRI. Focus countries include Mexico, India, South Africa and China.

W4.1c

(W4.1c) By river basin, what is the number and proportion of facilities exposed to water risks that could have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and what is the potential business impact associated with those facilities?

Country/Area & River basin

Mexico	Bravo
--------	-------

Number of facilities exposed to water risk

1

% company-wide facilities this represents

Less than 1%

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's total global revenue that could be affected

Less than 1%

Comment

Country/Area & River basin

Mexico	Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)
--------	--------------------------------

Number of facilities exposed to water risk

1

% company-wide facilities this represents

Less than 1%

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's total global revenue that could be affected

Less than 1%

Comment

Country/Area & River basin

India	Ganges - Brahmaputra
-------	----------------------

Number of facilities exposed to water risk

1

% company-wide facilities this represents

Less than 1%

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's total global revenue that could be affected

Less than 1%

Comment

Country/Area & River basin

South Africa	Other, please specify (Algoa)
--------------	-------------------------------

Number of facilities exposed to water risk

1

% company-wide facilities this represents

Less than 1%

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's total global revenue that could be affected

Less than 1%

Comment

Country/Area & River basin

China	Yongding He
-------	-------------

Number of facilities exposed to water risk

1

% company-wide facilities this represents

Less than 1%

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's total global revenue that could be affected

Less than 1%

Comment

Country/Area & River basin

China	Huang He (Yellow River)
-------	-------------------------

Number of facilities exposed to water risk

1

% company-wide facilities this represents

Less than 1%

Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities

<Not Applicable>

% company's total global revenue that could be affected

Less than 1%

Comment

W4.2

(W4.2) Provide details of identified risks in your direct operations with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and your response to those risks.

Country/Area & River basin

Mexico	Bravo
--------	-------

Type of risk & Primary risk driver

Physical	Increased water stress
----------	------------------------

Primary potential impact

Constraint to growth

Company-specific description

This basin was designated as extremely high risk in terms of baseline water stress in accordance with the global WRI Aqueduct water risk assessment described above and therefore flagged for our attention. A lack of available freshwater could be a limiting factor in our productive capacity, particularly in light of our expected growth forecasts in this expanding domestic market and therefore further investigation will be necessary to determine the appropriate engagement/response from a water perspective.

Timeframe

1-3 years

Magnitude of potential impact

Medium-low

Likelihood

Likely

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact figure (currency)

<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

1

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

10000000

Explanation of financial impact

5,000,000 = average of estimated range of impact (1 - 10,000,000). The calculation of direct financial impact is in the beginning phases only and obtaining precise figures remains difficult at this stage. We have identified an early estimated figure regarding financial impact and have initiated countermeasures to address this impact, however the precise figures remain confidential at present.

Primary response to risk

Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

Description of response

ESH Managers and Facilities generally are trained in water-use efficiency and technical solutions to save water.

Cost of response

0

Explanation of cost of response

All individual measures are calculated at the individual site level and at present are not aggregated at the corporate level.

Country/Area & River basin

Mexico	Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)
--------	--------------------------------

Type of risk & Primary risk driver

Physical	Increased water stress
----------	------------------------

Primary potential impact

Constraint to growth

Company-specific description

This basin was designated as extremely high risk in terms of baseline water stress in accordance with the global WRI Aqueduct water risk assessment described above and therefore flagged for our attention. A lack of available freshwater could be a limiting factor in our productive capacity, particularly in light of our expected growth forecasts in this expanding domestic market and therefore further investigation will be necessary to determine the appropriate engagement/response from a water perspective.

Timeframe

1-3 years

Magnitude of potential impact

Medium-low

Likelihood

Likely

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact figure (currency)

<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

1

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

10000000

Explanation of financial impact

5,000,000 = average of estimated range of impact (1 - 10,000,000). The calculation of direct financial impact is in the beginning phases only and obtaining precise figures remains difficult at this stage. We have identified an early estimated figure regarding financial impact and have initiated countermeasures to address this impact, however the precise figures remain confidential at present.

Primary response to risk

Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

Description of response

ESH Managers and Facilities generally are trained in water-use efficiency and technical solutions to save water.

Cost of response

0

Explanation of cost of response

All individual measures are calculated at the individual site level and at present are not aggregated at the corporate level.

Country/Area & River basin

India	Ganges - Brahmaputra
-------	----------------------

Type of risk & Primary risk driver

Physical	Increased water stress
----------	------------------------

Primary potential impact

Constraint to growth

Company-specific description

This basin was designated as extremely high risk in terms of baseline water stress in accordance with the global WRI Aqueduct water risk assessment described above and therefore flagged for our attention. A lack of available freshwater could be a limiting factor in our productive capacity, particularly in light of our expected growth forecasts in this expanding domestic market and therefore further investigation will be necessary to determine the appropriate engagement/response from a water perspective.

Timeframe

1-3 years

Magnitude of potential impact

Medium

Likelihood

Likely

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact figure (currency)

<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

1

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

10000000

Explanation of financial impact

5,000,000 = average of estimated range of impact (1 - 10,000,000). The calculation of direct financial impact is in the beginning phases only and obtaining precise figures remains difficult at this stage. We have identified an early estimated figure regarding financial impact and have initiated countermeasures to address this impact, however the precise figures remain confidential at present.

Primary response to risk

Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

Description of response

ESH Managers and Facilities generally are trained in water-use efficiency and technical solutions to save water.

Cost of response

0

Explanation of cost of response

All individual measures are calculated at the individual site level and at present are not aggregated at the corporate level.

Country/Area & River basin

South Africa	Other, please specify (Algoa)
--------------	-------------------------------

Type of risk & Primary risk driver

Physical	Increased water stress
----------	------------------------

Primary potential impact

Constraint to growth

Company-specific description

This basin was designated as extremely high risk in terms of baseline water stress in accordance with the global WRI Aqueduct water risk assessment described above and therefore flagged for our attention. A lack of available freshwater could be a limiting factor in our productive capacity, particularly with respect to the production of steam, which specifically relevant to this facility and could lead to higher production costs.

Timeframe

1-3 years

Magnitude of potential impact

Medium

Likelihood

Likely

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact figure (currency)

<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

1

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

10000000

Explanation of financial impact

5,000,000 = average of estimated range of impact (1 - 10,000,000). The calculation of direct financial impact is in the beginning phases only and obtaining precise figures remains difficult at this stage. We have identified an early estimated figure regarding financial impact and have initiated countermeasures to address this impact, however the precise figures remain confidential at present.

Primary response to risk

Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

Description of response

ESH Managers and Facilities generally are trained in water-use efficiency and technical solutions to save water.

Cost of response

0

Explanation of cost of response

All individual measures are calculated at the individual site level and at present are not aggregated at the corporate level.

Country/Area & River basin

China	Yongding He
-------	-------------

Type of risk & Primary risk driver

Physical	Increased water stress
----------	------------------------

Primary potential impact

Constraint to growth

Company-specific description

This basin was designated as extremely high risk in terms of baseline water stress in accordance with the global WRI Aqueduct water risk assessment described above and therefore flagged for our attention. A lack of available freshwater could be a limiting factor in our productive capacity, particularly in light of our expected growth forecasts in this expanding domestic market and therefore further investigation will be necessary to determine the appropriate engagement/response from a water perspective.

Timeframe

1-3 years

Magnitude of potential impact

Medium

Likelihood

Likely

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact figure (currency)

<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

1

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

10000000

Explanation of financial impact

5,000,000 = average of estimated range of impact (1 - 10,000,000). The calculation of direct financial impact is in the beginning phases only and obtaining precise figures remains difficult at this stage. We have identified an early estimated figure regarding financial impact and have initiated countermeasures to address this impact, however the precise figures remain confidential at present.

Primary response to risk

Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

Description of response

ESH Managers and Facilities generally are trained in water-use efficiency and technical solutions to save water.

Cost of response

0

Explanation of cost of response

All individual measures are calculated at the individual site level and at present are not aggregated at the corporate level.

Country/Area & River basin

China	Huang He (Yellow River)
-------	-------------------------

Type of risk & Primary risk driver

Physical	Increased water stress
----------	------------------------

Primary potential impact

Constraint to growth

Company-specific description

This basin was designated as extremely high risk in terms of baseline water stress in accordance with the global WRI Aqueduct water risk assessment described above and therefore flagged for our attention. A lack of available freshwater could be a limiting factor in our productive capacity, particularly in light of our expected growth forecasts in this expanding domestic market and therefore further investigation will be necessary to determine the appropriate engagement/response from a water perspective.

Timeframe

1-3 years

Magnitude of potential impact

Medium

Likelihood

Likely

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact figure (currency)

<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

1

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

10000000

Explanation of financial impact

5,000,000 = average of estimated range of impact (1 - 10,000,000). The calculation of direct financial impact is in the beginning phases only and obtaining precise figures remains difficult at this stage. We have identified an early estimated figure regarding financial impact and have initiated countermeasures to address this impact, however the precise figures remain confidential at present.

Primary response to risk

Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

Description of response

ESH Managers and Facilities generally are trained in water-use efficiency and technical solutions to save water.

Cost of response

0

Explanation of cost of response

All individual measures are calculated at the individual site level and at present are not aggregated at the corporate level.

W4.2a

(W4.2a) Provide details of risks identified within your value chain (beyond direct operations) with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and your response to those risks.

Country/Area & River basin

China	Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)
-------	-----------------------------

Stage of value chain

Supply chain

Type of risk & Primary risk driver

Physical	Increased water stress
----------	------------------------

Primary potential impact

Disruption to sales due to value chain disrruption

Company-specific description

This basin in which one of our Chinese suppliers is located was designated as extremely high risk in terms of baseline water stress in accordance with the global WRI Aqueduct water risk assessment described above and therefore flagged for our attention. A lack of available freshwater could impact our procurement of necessary raw materials and input components required by our production facilities and therefore further investigation will be necessary to determine the appropriate engagement/response with such suppliers from a water perspective.

Timeframe

1-3 years

Magnitude of potential impact

Medium

Likelihood

Likely

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact figure (currency)

<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

1

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

10000000

Explanation of financial impact

5,000,000 = average of estimated range of impact (1 - 10,000,000). The calculation of direct financial impact is in the beginning phases only and obtaining precise figures remains difficult at this stage. We have identified an early estimated figure regarding financial impact and have initiated countermeasures to address this impact, however the precise figures remain confidential at present.

Primary response to risk

Upstream	Map supplier water risk
----------	-------------------------

Description of response

The designation of suppliers from this basin as belonging to the extremely high-risk category was determined based on an initial global water risk assessment and therefore further refinement of the risk assessment and engagement with affected suppliers will be necessary to determine an appropriate and targeted response to lessen risk from water stress.

Cost of response

0

Explanation of cost of response

Further refinements to the risk assessment using additional indicators shall be conducted using WRI Aqueduct Tool and WWF Water Risk Filter to take into account issues regarding water quality, flooding, rainfall variability and other factors to narrow down the scope of the risk to suppliers within this basin. Subsequent high-level engagement with the supplier shall initiate a deeper assessment based on local knowledge to determine an initial course of action. Such due diligence and risk mitigation planning is not considered to have significant costs at the pre-implementation phase.

W4.3

(W4.3) Have you identified any water-related opportunities with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

No

W4.3b

(W4.3b) Why does your organization not consider itself to have water-related opportunities?

	Primary reason	Please explain
Row 1	Opportunities exist, but none with potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on business	In some areas of Contitech business we provide solutions to save water or to reduce water losses by evaporation. However, this is not a major portion of or business or production costs.

W5. Facility-level water accounting

W5.1

(W5.1) For each facility referenced in W4.1c, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

Facility reference number

Facility 1

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

Mexico	Bravo
--------	-------

Latitude

31.7

Longitude

106.4

Located in area with water stress

Yes

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility

<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division

<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)

36487

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Lower

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

Withdrawals from third party sources

36487

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)

18245

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Lower

Discharges to fresh surface water

0

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

Discharges to groundwater

0

Discharges to third party destinations

18245

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

18242

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Lower

Please explain

Besides the implementation of water-use efficiency measures at this facility, a decrease in production resulted in a decrease in the level of water withdrawals, discharge and consumption compared to the previous reporting year.

Facility reference number

Facility 2

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

Mexico	Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)
--------	--------------------------------

Latitude

31.3

Longitude

110.9

Located in area with water stress

Yes

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility

<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division

<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)

42597

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Lower

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

Withdrawals from third party sources

42597

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)

12781

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Lower

Discharges to fresh surface water

0

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

Discharges to groundwater

0

Discharges to third party destinations

12781

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

29816

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Lower

Please explain

Besides the implementation of water-use efficiency measures at this facility, a decrease in production resulted in a decrease in the level of water withdrawals, discharge and consumption compared to the previous reporting year.

Facility reference number

Facility 3

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

India	Ganges - Brahmaputra
-------	----------------------

Latitude

28.4

Longitude

77.06

Located in area with water stress

Yes

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility

<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division

<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)

12705

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Lower

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

Withdrawals from third party sources

12705

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)

8894

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Lower

Discharges to fresh surface water

0

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

Discharges to groundwater

0

Discharges to third party destinations

8894

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

3811

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Higher

Please explain

Besides the implementation of water-use efficiency measures at this facility, a decrease in production resulted in a decrease in the level of water withdrawals, discharge and a higher consumption compared to the previous reporting year.

Facility reference number

Facility 4

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

South Africa	Other, please specify (Algoa)
--------------	-------------------------------

Latitude

33.9

Longitude

25.6

Located in area with water stress

Yes

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility

<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division

<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)

70801

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Lower

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

Withdrawals from third party sources

70801

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)

28608

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Lower

Discharges to fresh surface water

0

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

Discharges to groundwater

0

Discharges to third party destinations

28608

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

42193

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Lower

Please explain

Besides the implementation of water-use efficiency measures at this facility, a decrease in production resulted in a decrease in the level of water withdrawals, discharge and consumption compared to the previous reporting year.

Facility reference number

Facility 5

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

China	Yongding He
-------	-------------

Latitude

39.1

Longitude

117.1

Located in area with water stress

Yes

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility

<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division

<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)

208493

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Higher

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

Withdrawals from third party sources

208493

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)

122548

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Higher

Discharges to fresh surface water

0

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

Discharges to groundwater

0

Discharges to third party destinations

122548

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

85945

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

About the same

Please explain

Despite the implementation of water-use efficiency measures at this facility, an increase in production resulted in an increase in the level of water withdrawals, discharge and roughly the same consumption compared to the previous reporting year.

Facility reference number

Facility 6

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

China	Huang He (Yellow River)
-------	-------------------------

Latitude

36.6

Longitude

116.9

Located in area with water stress

Yes

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility

<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division

<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)

13631

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Lower

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

Withdrawals from third party sources

13631

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)

8550

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Higher

Discharges to fresh surface water

0

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

Discharges to groundwater

0

Discharges to third party destinations

8550

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

5081

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Lower

Please explain

Besides the implementation of water-use efficiency measures at this facility, a decrease in production resulted in a decrease in the level of water withdrawals, discharge and consumption compared to the previous reporting year.

W5.1a

(W5.1a) For the facilities referenced in W5.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been externally verified?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

% verified
Not verified

What standard and methodology was used?
<Not Applicable>

Water withdrawals – volume by source

% verified
Not verified

What standard and methodology was used?
<Not Applicable>

Water withdrawals – quality

% verified
Not verified

What standard and methodology was used?
<Not Applicable>

Water discharges – total volumes

% verified
Not verified

What standard and methodology was used?
<Not Applicable>

Water discharges – volume by destination

% verified
Not verified

What standard and methodology was used?
<Not Applicable>

Water discharges – volume by treatment method

% verified
Not verified

What standard and methodology was used?
<Not Applicable>

Water discharge quality – quality by standard effluent parameters

% verified
Not verified

What standard and methodology was used?
<Not Applicable>

Water discharge quality – temperature

% verified
Not verified

What standard and methodology was used?
<Not Applicable>

Water consumption – total volume

% verified
Not verified

What standard and methodology was used?
<Not Applicable>

Water recycled/reused

% verified
Not verified

What standard and methodology was used?
<Not Applicable>

W6. Governance

W6.1

(W6.1) Does your organization have a water policy?

Yes, we have a documented water policy, but it is not publicly available

W6.1a

(W6.1a) Select the options that best describe the scope and content of your water policy.

	Scope	Content	Please explain
Row 1	Company-wide	Description of water-related performance standards for direct operations Company water targets and goals Commitment to align with public policy initiatives, such as the SDGs Recognition of environmental linkages, for example, due to climate change	While we are currently discussing changes to our existing water policy and strategy, at present our policy focuses on our impacts and targets at the facility-level (direct operations) only.

W6.2

(W6.2) Is there board level oversight of water-related issues within your organization?

Yes

W6.2a

(W6.2a) Identify the position(s) (do not include any names) of the individual(s) on the board with responsibility for water-related issues.

Position of individual	Please explain
Chief Executive Officer (CEO)	Our environmental strategy is reviewed by the Executive Board as a whole on a regular basis in order to ensure consistency with other corporate policies and provide strategic vision. Our CEO in particular is responsible for matters related to Quality and Environment and thereby has direct responsibility for any strategic priorities related to our water strategy.
Other, please specify (CSR Council)	The CSR council regularly reviews any CSR- relevant topics and acts as the decision board for strategic developments, within which water-related topics are included. The CSR Council is led by the HR Board Member and our CFO.

W6.2b

(W6.2b) Provide further details on the board's oversight of water-related issues.

	Frequency that water-related issues are a scheduled agenda item	Governance mechanisms into which water-related issues are integrated	Please explain
Row 1	Scheduled - some meetings	Monitoring implementation and performance Overseeing major capital expenditures Reviewing and guiding risk management policies Reviewing and guiding strategy Reviewing and guiding corporate responsibility strategy Reviewing innovation/R&D priorities Setting performance objectives	Influence is exercised by the Executive Board and CSR Council with respect to strategic vision, integration with other sustainability topics and Group-wide coordination of monitoring and efficiency efforts. This includes special attention from our CEO Dr. Dr. Degenhart who is responsible for matters related to Quality and Environment and therefore provides strategic input into the development of our water policy based on current activities and projected environmental risks (i.e. water risks). All information related to current water performance indicators, monitoring issues, water-related risks and supply chain management are provided and prepared by Continental's Head of Corporate Environment who briefs higher management on these matters. Periodic meetings with the highest level of management ensure that water issues remain present in financial decision making. At the same time, it ensures that the mitigation of water-related risks are sufficiently coordinated with other related environmental policies.

W6.3

(W6.3) Provide the highest management-level position(s) or committee(s) with responsibility for water-related issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)

Other C-Suite Officer, please specify (Board Member of HR & Sustainability and CFO)

Responsibility

Both assessing and managing water-related risks and opportunities

Frequency of reporting to the board on water-related issues

Quarterly

Please explain

Our Board Member for HR & Sustainability as well our CFO, both of whom also occupy the Executive Board, lead the CSR Council. This council regularly reviews all CSR-relevant topics and acts as the decision board for strategy implementation, which includes all water-related topics. Quarterly reports are delivered to the Executive Board as a whole and with special attention given to the CEO outlining progress with respect to the implementation of strategy as well as recommendations for future policy changes or other strategic decisions.

Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)

Environment/Sustainability manager

Responsibility

Both assessing and managing water-related risks and opportunities

Frequency of reporting to the board on water-related issues

Quarterly

Please explain

At the divisional level, the Heads of Environment work on water-related topics, strategy, risk assessments, target setting and performance. Any information provided by this management level is provided to the Board level to support decision making.

Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)

Environmental health and safety manager

Responsibility

Both assessing and managing water-related risks and opportunities

Frequency of reporting to the board on water-related issues

More frequently than quarterly

Please explain

At the plant level, the EHS Manager(s) are responsible for executing and monitoring the performance of water targets. This is done in collaboration with the plant management and the facility management.

Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)

Facilities manager

Responsibility

Both assessing and managing water-related risks and opportunities

Frequency of reporting to the board on water-related issues

More frequently than quarterly

Please explain

At the plant level, the EHS Manager(s) are responsible for executing and monitoring the performance of water targets. This is done in collaboration with the plant management and the facility management.

Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)

Process operation manager

Responsibility

Both assessing and managing water-related risks and opportunities

Frequency of reporting to the board on water-related issues

More frequently than quarterly

Please explain

At the plant level, the EHS Manager(s) are responsible for executing and monitoring the performance of water targets. This is done in collaboration with the plant management and the facility management.

W6.4

(W6.4) Do you provide incentives to C-suite employees or board members for the management of water-related issues?

	Provide incentives for management of water-related issues	Comment
Row 1	No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years	

W6.5

(W6.5) Do you engage in activities that could either directly or indirectly influence public policy on water through any of the following?

- Yes, direct engagement with policy makers
- Yes, trade associations

W6.5a

(W6.5a) What processes do you have in place to ensure that all of your direct and indirect activities seeking to influence policy are consistent with your water policy/water commitments?

There is a regular alignment process in place that corresponds to the quarterly Executive Board meetings and decision-making timeline within the CSR Council to ensure that policy implementation within the facility locations and divisional functions are in accordance with overall water policies. This alignment is coordinated by Heads of Environment and EHS Managers in order to ensure that prioritized water programs and policies are carried out by Facility Managers, and that learnings are fed back to higher management and the Executive Board.

W6.6

(W6.6) Did your organization include information about its response to water-related risks in its most recent mainstream financial report?

- Yes (you may attach the report - this is optional)

annual-report-2019-data.pdf

W7. Business strategy

W7.1

(W7.1) Are water-related issues integrated into any aspects of your long-term strategic business plan, and if so how?

	Are water-related issues integrated?	Long-term time horizon (years)	Please explain
Long-term business objectives	Yes, water-related issues are integrated	16-20	We monitor both current and long-run projections of water risk indicators critical to our operations for both direct operations and critical supply chain basins on an annual basis using the WRI Aqueduct tool and WWF Water Risk Filter. Water risk indicators considered important to our long-term business objectives and strategic planning include changes in water stress, demand, supply and temporal variability in such supplies. A time horizon of 16-20 years was selected to anticipate changes to key water indicators with enough lead time to consider changes to our procurement strategy for key raw materials and ensure resilience - for example natural rubber plantations require 6-10 years after planting to deliver commodities - as well as to integrate findings into our 2030 sustainability strategy currently under development.
Strategy for achieving long-term objectives	Yes, water-related issues are integrated	16-20	We monitor both current and long-run projections of water risk indicators critical to our operations for both direct operations and critical supply chain basins on an annual basis using the WRI Aqueduct tool and WWF Water Risk Filter. Water risk indicators considered important to our long-term business objectives and strategic planning include changes in water stress, demand, supply and temporal variability in such supplies. A time horizon of 16-20 years was selected to anticipate changes to key water indicators with enough lead time to consider changes to our procurement strategy for key raw materials and ensure resilience - for example natural rubber plantations require 6-10 years after planting to deliver commodities - as well as to integrate findings into our 2030 sustainability strategy currently under development.
Financial planning	No, water-related issues were reviewed but not considered as strategically relevant/significant	16-20	Current and long-term water risk indicators were reviewed as they were for long-term business objectives and strategic planning, however the findings were not determined to be immediately critical from a financial planning point of view. This may change in the future as we develop our water strategy further.

W7.2

(W7.2) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

Row 1

Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

1

Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

1

Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

1

Please explain

W7.3

(W7.3) Does your organization use climate-related scenario analysis to inform its business strategy?

	Use of climate-related scenario analysis	Comment
Row 1	Yes	Climate related scenarios are used for strategic planning of greenfields and for R&D aspects of product development. In addition, our long-run water risk assessments using the WRI Aqueduct tool take into account various climate scenarios to determine projections in water stress and supply.

W7.3a

(W7.3a) Has your organization identified any water-related outcomes from your climate-related scenario analysis?

Yes

W7.3b

(W7.3b) What water-related outcomes were identified from the use of climate-related scenario analysis, and what was your organization's response?

	Climate-related scenarios and models applied	Description of possible water-related outcomes	Company response to possible water-related outcomes
Row 1	Other, please specify (RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5)	The RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 climate scenarios were used by the WRI Aqueduct Tool as optimistic and business as usual climate scenarios respectively to model changes in water stress and supply over long time horizons (i.e. 2020, 2030 and 2040). These models were used in combination with other indicators to determine where water stresses and supply are projected to worsen due to supply side (climate change-related) reasons. We were able to determine that even using the optimistic RCP 4.5 model we will likely see a worsening of water stress due to climate change in several of the high-risk basins where we have direct operations or source raw materials, such as in Mexico.	We anticipate engaging in identified high-risk basins in a more systematic manner moving forward, as indicated by our early efforts to engage with our supply chains in Mexico, due to immediate and long-term water scarcity concerns. This is anticipated to be clarified as part of our 2030 sustainability strategy and implemented over the decade.

W7.4

(W7.4) Does your company use an internal price on water?

Row 1

Does your company use an internal price on water?

No, but we are currently exploring water valuation practices

Please explain

Although we are not currently using an internal price on water, we are currently discussing possible options for implementing one at select sites, for example those located within basins that are highly water stressed in anticipation of future water price increases, as part of our 2030 sustainability strategy.

W8. Targets

W8.1

(W8.1) Describe your approach to setting and monitoring water-related targets and/or goals.

	Levels for targets and/or goals	Monitoring at corporate level	Approach to setting and monitoring targets and/or goals
Row 1	Company-wide targets and goals Site/facility specific targets and/or goals	Targets are monitored at the corporate level	Continental has two corporate targets: - to reduce total water withdrawals per revenue by 2% annually in regions with low and medium water stress - to reduce total water withdrawals per revenue by 4% annually in regions with high water stress. The corporate targets have been communicated to the individual production sites, which then must configure their own individual water withdrawal reduction targets and measures based on local circumstances in coordination with the corporate office to ensure that the overall corporate target is achieved by all sites on average. Production sites are responsible for implementing their own water management plans to comply with their individual and corporate-level ambitions by, for example, continually optimising water use on-site or increasing the use of recycled water.

W8.1a

(W8.1a) Provide details of your water targets that are monitored at the corporate level, and the progress made.

Target reference number

Target 1

Category of target

Water withdrawals

Level

Company-wide

Primary motivation

Reduced environmental impact

Description of target

The corporate-level target seeks to reduce total water withdrawals per revenue by 2% annually in regions with low and medium water stress.

Quantitative metric

% reduction per revenue

Baseline year

2019

Start year

2019

Target year

2030

% of target achieved

0

Please explain

This target was newly implemented in 2019 and therefore we can't report any progress.

Target reference number

Target 2

Category of target

Water withdrawals

Level

Company-wide

Primary motivation

Reduced environmental impact

Description of target

The corporate-level target seeks to reduce total water withdrawals per revenue by 4% annually in regions with high water stress.

Quantitative metric

% reduction in total water withdrawals

Baseline year

2019

Start year

2019

Target year

2030

% of target achieved

0

Please explain

This target was newly implemented in 2019 and therefore we can't report any progress.

W9. Verification

W9.1

(W9.1) Do you verify any other water information reported in your CDP disclosure (not already covered by W5.1a)?

No, but we are actively considering verifying within the next two years

W10. Sign off

W-FI

(W-FI) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

W10.1

(W10.1) Provide details for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP water response.

	Job title	Corresponding job category
Row 1	Dr. Elmar Degenhart, Chief Executive Officer	Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

W10.2

(W10.2) Please indicate whether your organization agrees for CDP to transfer your publicly disclosed data on your impact and risk response strategies to the CEO Water Mandate's Water Action Hub [applies only to W2.1a (response to impacts), W4.2 and W4.2a (response to risks)].

No

SW. Supply chain module

SW0.1

(SW0.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

	Annual revenue
Row 1	44500000000

SW0.2

(SW0.2) Do you have an ISIN for your organization that you are willing to share with CDP?

Yes

SW0.2a

(SW0.2a) Please share your ISIN in the table below.

	ISIN country code	ISIN numeric identifier (including single check digit)
Row 1	DE	00054390

SW1.1

(SW1.1) Could any of your facilities reported in W5.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

This is confidential

SW1.2

(SW1.2) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
Row 1	No, this is confidential data	

SW2.1

(SW2.1) Please propose any mutually beneficial water-related projects you could collaborate on with specific CDP supply chain members.

SW2.2

(SW2.2) Have any water projects been implemented due to CDP supply chain member engagement?

No

SW3.1

(SW3.1) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization's products or services.

Submit your response

In which language are you submitting your response?

English

Please confirm how your response should be handled by CDP

	I am submitting to	Public or Non-Public Submission	Are you ready to submit the additional Supply Chain Questions?
I am submitting my response	Investors Customers	Public	Yes, submit Supply Chain Questions now

Please confirm below

I have read and accept the applicable Terms