

## W0. Introduction

---

### W0.1

---

**(W0.1) Give a general description of and introduction to your organization.**

Continental AG is the parent company of the Continental Group. In addition to Continental AG, the Continental Group comprises 477 companies, including non-controlled companies. The Continental team is made up of 199,038 employees at 519 locations for production, research and development, and administration in 57 countries and markets. Added to this are distribution locations, with 917 company-owned tire outlets and a total of around 5,228 franchises and operations with a Continental brand presence.

The Continental Group is divided into four group sectors: Automotive, Tires, ContiTech and Contract Manufacturing. As of January 1, 2023, these comprise a total of 18 business areas.

A group sector or business area with overall responsibility for a business, including its results, is classified according to product requirements, market trends, customer groups and distribution channels.

Overall responsibility for managing the company is borne by the Executive Board of Continental Aktiengesellschaft (AG). The Automotive, Tires and ContiTech group sectors are each represented on the Executive Board. The group functions of Continental AG are represented by the chairman of the Executive Board, the chief financial officer and the Executive Board member responsible for Human Relations, and assume the functions required to manage the Continental Group across the group sectors. They include, in particular, Finance, Controlling, Compliance, Law, IT, Human Relations, Sustainability, and Quality and Environment. The Group Purchasing group function is represented by the Executive Board member responsible for the Tires group sector.

### W0.2

---

**(W0.2) State the start and end date of the year for which you are reporting data.**

	Start date	End date
Reporting year	January 1 2022	December 31 2022

### W0.3

---

**(W0.3) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.**

- Australia
- Belgium
- Brazil
- Canada
- Chile
- China
- Czechia
- Ecuador
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- India
- Italy
- Japan
- Lithuania
- Malaysia
- Mexico
- Morocco
- Philippines
- Poland
- Portugal
- Republic of Korea
- Romania
- Serbia
- Singapore
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- South Africa
- Spain
- Sri Lanka
- Thailand
- Turkey
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- United States of America

**W0.4**

---

**(W0.4) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.**

EUR

**W0.5**

---

**(W0.5) Select the option that best describes the reporting boundary for companies, entities, or groups for which water impacts on your business are being reported.**

Companies, entities or groups over which operational control is exercised

**W0.6**

---

**(W0.6) Within this boundary, are there any geographies, facilities, water aspects, or other exclusions from your disclosure?**

No

**W0.7**

---

**(W0.7) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?**

Indicate whether you are able to provide a unique identifier for your organization.	Provide your unique identifier
Yes, an ISIN code	DE0005439004

**W1. Current state**

---

**W1.1**

---

(W1.1) Rate the importance (current and future) of water quality and water quantity to the success of your business.

	Direct use importance rating	Indirect use importance rating	Please explain
Sufficient amounts of good quality freshwater available for use	Important	Important	While freshwater is not used as an input factor in our products, supplies of freshwater are nevertheless important for both our direct and indirect production processes. In addition to the small quantities of high quality freshwater required for washing and drinking by employees, water resources are important in our direct production processes, particularly within the Group Sector Tires, for cooling as well as for galvanic processes. Supplies of freshwater are also important in the production of many of our critical raw materials including especially natural rubber, various metals (i.e. steel), plastics and resins. Dependency on freshwater resources will become increasingly important for our indirect operations through the procurement of natural rubber in particular, where an initial footprinting assessment indicates most of our water exposure is held. This dependency is especially vulnerable in some dryer areas new to rubber cultivation where physical risks are of greatest concern.
Sufficient amounts of recycled, brackish and/or produced water available for use	Not very important	Not very important	Currently we do not depend in any significant way on brackish or recycled water for our direct operations and our indirect operations, where use of such sources is nearly zero except in a few isolated plants for cooling purposes. An initial assessment of our supply chain also indicates that such water sources are of lesser importance as compared to freshwater, and where it is used it is primarily for cooling and steam production in the processing of raw materials. An assessment of our indirect water footprint indicates that most of our water exposure lies in key raw materials such as natural rubber that rely primarily on freshwater, and we do not anticipate that this will change in the near future. However, we do expect to expand our use of recycled water within our direct operations in selected locations, particularly those facing acute water stress. Therefore, we expect an increased future water dependency on sufficient amounts of recycled water for both our direct and indirect operations.

W1.2

(W1.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

	% of sites/facilities/operations	Frequency of measurement	Method of measurement	Please explain
Water withdrawals – total volumes	100%	Monthly	Measurement done with meters	All production sites and R&D sites apply a certified management system according to ISO 14001 and report their data in our global KPI tool. Data is collected on a monthly basis and aggregated for annual reporting.
Water withdrawals – volumes by source	100%	Monthly	Measurement done with meters	All production sites and R&D sites apply a certified management system according to ISO 14001 and report their data in our global KPI tool. Data is collected on a monthly basis and aggregated for annual reporting.
Entrained water associated with your metals & mining and/or coal sector activities - total volumes [only metals and mining and coal sectors]	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>
Produced water associated with your oil & gas sector activities - total volumes [only oil and gas sector]	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>
Water withdrawals quality	76-99	Monthly	partially measured by analytics, not applicable for all locations	All production sites and R&D sites apply a certified management system according to ISO 14001 and report their data in our global KPI tool. Data is collected on a monthly basis and aggregated for annual reporting.
Water discharges – total volumes	76-99	Monthly	Partially measured by meters and partially calculated	All production sites and R&D sites apply a certified management system according to ISO 14001 and report their data in our global KPI tool. Data is collected on a monthly basis and aggregated for annual reporting.
Water discharges – volumes by destination	76-99	Monthly	Partially measured by meters and partially calculated	All production sites and R&D sites apply a certified management system according to ISO 14001 and report their data in our global KPI tool. Data is collected on a monthly basis and aggregated for annual reporting.
Water discharges – volumes by treatment method	51-75	Monthly	Partially measured by meters and partially calculated	The KPI is monitored locally on an as-needed basis for sites where additional monitoring parameters are required for legal reasons, and are not aggregated at Group level. Usually this indicator is monitored on a monthly basis, although slight variations in monitoring frequency may occur in some locations where legal requirements differ.
Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters	51-75	Monthly	partially measured by analytics, not applicable for all locations	The KPI is monitored locally on an as-needed basis for sites where additional monitoring parameters are required for legal reasons, and are not aggregated at Group level. Usually this indicator is monitored on a monthly basis, although slight variations in monitoring frequency may occur in some locations where legal requirements differ.
Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)	Not relevant	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	Nitrates, phosphates, pesticides are not relevant and not applicable for our production processes and therefore not measured.
Water discharge quality – temperature	51-75	Monthly	partially measured by analytics, not applicable for all locations	The KPI is monitored locally on an as-needed basis for sites where additional monitoring parameters are required for legal reasons, and are not aggregated at Group level. Usually this indicator is monitored on a monthly basis, although slight variations in monitoring frequency may occur in some locations where legal requirements differ.
Water consumption – total volume	76-99	Monthly	Partially measured by meters and partially calculated	All production sites and R&D sites apply a certified management system according to ISO 14001 and report their data in our global KPI tool. Data is collected on a monthly basis and aggregated for annual reporting.
Water recycled/reused	26-50	Monthly	Partially measured by meters and partially calculated	Monitoring of recycled/reused water is only undertaken within our Group Sector Tires and is conducted on a monthly basis.
The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers	100%	Monthly	internal audits	In all plants operated by Continental, WASH Services are provided to our workers as per our company policy. An internal audit of our production locations with regard to this and other health and safety policies is conducted on an annual basis. All Continental locations are fully equipped with the necessary installations according to WASH services.

W1.2b

**(W1.2b) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?**

	Volume (megaliters/year)	Comparison with previous reporting year	Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Five-year forecast	Primary reason for forecast	Please explain
Total withdrawals	15670631	Lower	Increase/decrease in efficiency	Lower	Increase/decrease in efficiency	In fiscal 2022, the volume of water withdrawal amounted to 15.7 million m3 (PY: 16.7 million m3). This mainly includes drinking water sourced from public-utility water providers, as well as extracted groundwater and surface water. The volume of water withdrawal decreased by 6.2% compared with the previous year. This result is attributable to the various local projects across all group sectors aimed at reducing water consumption. In the future, withdrawal volumes are expected to remain roughly stable on a pre-pandemic level with a slight decrease possible due to internal efficiency measures.
Total discharges	8665463	About the same	Increase/decrease in efficiency	Lower	Increase/decrease in efficiency	A slightly lower discharge figure resulted despite the COVID-19 pandemic, as the water-saving projects were introduced. In the future, volumes are expected to remain roughly stable on a pre-pandemic level with a slight decrease possible due to further internal efficiency measures requiring fewer withdrawals and an uptake in the use of recycled water in some locations.
Total consumption	7005168	Lower	Increase/decrease in efficiency	Lower	Increase/decrease in efficiency	A reduction in the overall water consumption is mainly attributable to the various local projects across all group sectors aimed at reducing water consumption. Lower water withdrawal resulted in a lower consumption figure compared with the previous year. In the future, volumes are expected to remain roughly stable on a pre-pandemic level with a slight decrease possible due to internal efficiency measures.

**W1.2d**

**(W1.2d) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the proportion, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.**

	Withdrawals are from areas with water stress	% withdrawn from areas with water stress	Comparison with previous reporting year	Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Five-year forecast	Primary reason for forecast	Identification tool	Please explain
Row 1	Yes	11-25	About the same	Increase/decrease in efficiency	Lower	Investment in water-smart technology/process	WRI Aqueduct WWF Water Risk Filter	2018 was the first year in which we assessed and classified our production sites based on various indicators covering physical risks, quality risks as well as reputational and regulatory risks as part of a global water risk assessment. The WRI Aqueduct tool was selected to assess water stress for all basins within which we have production facilities. The assessment was carried out for the indicator baseline water stress (BWS) using data from the model's baseline year (2010) as well as for future projections of water stress in 2020, 2030 and 2040. Based on the outcome of this assessment, we determined that total production facility withdrawals from basins designated as suffering from extreme water stress constituted less than 25% of the total. Priority countries include Mexico, India, South Africa and China. The full update of the water risk assessment was conducted in 2021. Similar to the overall water withdrawal, the water withdrawal from areas with water stress declined due to water reduction projects and ambitious targets.

**W1.2h**

**(W1.2h) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.**

	Relevance	Volume (megaliters/year)	Comparison with previous reporting year	Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Please explain
Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes	Relevant	2614892	Lower	Increase/decrease in efficiency	Despite the mostly uninterrupted production (after the COVID-19 pandemic), several technical measures in production led to effective water savings for our surface and renewable groundwater sources compared with the previous year's figure. In the future, withdrawal volumes are expected to remain roughly stable on a pre-pandemic level with a slight decrease possible due to internal efficiency measures. Water withdrawal from this source is relevant because it is needed for certain processes within our operations.
Brackish surface water/Seawater	Not relevant	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	Water from this source is not used by our organisation as supplies from other sources are sufficient to meet our production needs. We do not expect any withdrawals from this source in the future.
Groundwater – renewable	Relevant	5212378	Lower	Increase/decrease in efficiency	Despite the mostly uninterrupted production (after the COVID-19 pandemic), several technical measures in production led to effective water savings for our surface and renewable groundwater sources compared with the previous year's figure. In the future, withdrawal volumes are expected to remain roughly stable on a pre-pandemic level with a slight decrease possible due to internal efficiency measures. Water withdrawal from this source is relevant because it is needed for certain processes within our operations.
Groundwater – non-renewable	Not relevant	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	Water from this source is not used by our organisation as supplies from other sources are sufficient to meet our production needs. We do not expect any withdrawals from this source in the future.
Produced/Entrained water	Relevant	333887	Higher	Increase/decrease in efficiency	Water from this source is used by our organization to support supplies from other sources sufficiently to meet our production needs. Water withdrawal from this source is relevant because it is needed for certain processes within our operations.
Third party sources	Relevant	7509474	Lower	Increase/decrease in efficiency	Several technical measures in production led to effective water savings. Water withdrawal from this source is relevant because it is needed for certain processes within our operations.

**W1.2i**

**(W1.2i) Provide total water discharge data by destination.**

	Relevance	Volume (megaliters/year)	Comparison with previous reporting year	Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Please explain
Fresh surface water	Relevant	2142311	Higher	Increase/decrease in business activity	We have limited discharge to surface water sources for selected sites, this operation takes place on an as-needed basis and is not common practice across the company as a whole. Monitored data on such discharge was aggregated at the corporate level for the second time.
Brackish surface water/seawater	Not relevant	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	We do not discharge water to brackish surface water or seawater sources.
Groundwater	Relevant	312621	Lower	Increase/decrease in efficiency	We have very limited discharge to groundwater sources for selected sites, this operation takes place on an as-needed basis and is not common practice across the company as a whole. Monitored data on such discharge was aggregated at the corporate level for the second time.
Third-party destinations	Relevant	6210531	Lower	Increase/decrease in efficiency	A lower discharge figure resulted from water-saving projects introduced and other efficiency measures. It is corporate policy to discharge all wastewater to third-party destinations and therefore this indicator represents the vast majority of water discharged across the company as a whole. In the future, discharge volumes are expected to remain roughly stable on a pre-pandemic level with a slight decrease possible due to further internal efficiency measures requiring fewer withdrawals and an uptake in the use of recycled water in some locations.

**W1.2j**

**(W1.2j) Within your direct operations, indicate the highest level(s) to which you treat your discharge.**

	Relevance of treatment level to discharge	Volume (megaliters/year)	Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year	Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	% of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to	Please explain
Tertiary treatment	Relevant but volume unknown	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	Aggregated numbers are not available on Group Level but locations meter the discharged volumes and the level of treatment.
Secondary treatment	Relevant but volume unknown	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	Aggregated numbers are not available on Group Level but locations meter the discharged volumes and the level of treatment.
Primary treatment only	Relevant but volume unknown	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	Aggregated numbers are not available on Group Level but locations meter the discharged volumes and the level of treatment.
Discharge to the natural environment without treatment	Not relevant	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	Discharge to the natural environment without treatment is not applied at Continental
Discharge to a third party without treatment	Relevant but volume unknown	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	Aggregated numbers are not available on Group Level but locations meter the discharged volumes and the level of treatment.
Other	Not relevant	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	Not relevant

**W1.3**

**(W1.3) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.**

	Revenue	Total water withdrawal volume (megaliters)	Total water withdrawal efficiency	Anticipated forward trend
Row 1	3940890000	15670631	2514.82534430171	We expect the total water withdrawal efficiency to increase over the next few years due to the implementation of further water protection projects and an increased revenue of the company.

**W1.4**

**(W1.4) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?**

	Products contain hazardous substances	Comment
Row 1	Yes	<Not Applicable>

**W1.4a**

**(W1.4a) What percentage of your company's revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?**

Regulatory classification of hazardous substances	% of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list	Please explain
Candidate List of Substances of Very High Concern for Authorisation above 0.1% by weight (EU Regulation)	Less than 10%	Substances of very high concern (SVHCs) are contained in multiple articles (REACH definition) we produce due to its occurrence in the automotive supply chain. REACH and waste framework directive (SCIP) reporting requirements are fulfilled. These hazardous substances are unlikely to be accessible to water. To determine the % of revenue associated with SVHC in our high complexity products we consider their mass fraction.

**W1.5**

**(W1.5) Do you engage with your value chain on water-related issues?**

	Engagement	Primary reason for no engagement	Please explain
Suppliers	Yes	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>
Other value chain partners (e.g., customers)	No	Important but not an immediate business priority	Currently, we just focus on assessing the impact of our suppliers on water security and water quality.

**W1.5a**

**(W1.5a) Do you assess your suppliers according to their impact on water security?**

**Row 1**

**Assessment of supplier impact**

Yes, we assess the impact of our suppliers

**Considered in assessment**

Basin status (e.g., water stress or access to WASH services)

Supplier impacts on water quality

**Number of suppliers identified as having a substantive impact**

1

**% of total suppliers identified as having a substantive impact**

Unknown

**Please explain**

1 = This data is considered confidential

Continental uses EcoVadis and NQC disclosure platforms as internationally accredited and verified systems to obtain information from suppliers and prioritizes those for water disclosure based on strategic materiality and environmental impact thresholds built into the software. All strategic suppliers meeting these criteria are included in the assessment. Suppliers are required to report environmental data indicators among others as a pre-condition for doing business with Continental and the terms are detailed in our supplier agreement. Continental does not actively incentivize suppliers to report their data. Suppliers are not incentivized for disclosing their data but the results are an important aspect of Continentals supplier selection process. A suppliers impact is rated as significant, if disruptions in its manufacturing capabilities due to water stress would have a significant financial impact on our business (=less than 1% of our revenue).

**W1.5b**

**(W1.5b) Do your suppliers have to meet water-related requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?**

	Suppliers have to meet specific water-related requirements	Comment
Row 1	Yes, suppliers have to meet water-related requirements, but they are not included in our supplier contracts	<Not Applicable>

**W1.5c**

**(W1.5c) Provide details of the water-related requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.**

**Water-related requirement**

Reducing total water withdrawal volumes

**% of suppliers with a substantive impact required to comply with this water-related requirement**

51-75

**% of suppliers with a substantive impact in compliance with this water-related requirement**

Unknown

**Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this water-related requirement**

Supplier self-assessment

**Response to supplier non-compliance with this water-related requirement**

Suspend and engage

**Comment**

Continental uses EcoVadis and NQC disclosure platforms as internationally accredited and verified systems to obtain information from suppliers and prioritizes those for water disclosure based on strategic materiality and environmental impact thresholds built into the software. All strategic suppliers meeting these criteria are included in the assessment. Suppliers are required to report environmental data indicators among others as a pre-condition for doing business with Continental and the terms are detailed in our supplier agreement. Continental does not actively incentivize suppliers to report their data. Suppliers are not incentivized for disclosing their data but the results are an important aspect of Continental's supplier selection process.

---

**W1.5d**

**(W1.5d) Provide details of any other water-related supplier engagement activity.**

**Type of engagement**

Innovation & collaboration

**Details of engagement**

Educate suppliers about water stewardship and collaboration

**% of suppliers by number**

Less than 1%

**% of suppliers with a substantive impact**

Less than 1%

**Rationale for your engagement**

In a collaboration project with Tier 1 suppliers within the Bravo River Basin in Mexico, we have created a network within the regional production economy to promote resource efficiency and in particular water-use efficiency, which was one of the more important KPIs discussed as part of the engagement. The location of these suppliers within a designated high-risk water stressed basin was one of the primary motivations for selecting these suppliers in particular.

**Impact of the engagement and measures of success**

Measures to promote and monitor water-use efficiency across the network of suppliers were implemented with the aim of spreading awareness about the water topic and monitoring know-how throughout the group. Progress is assessed in terms of changes in water withdrawals at the site levels per unit of production, with initial results indicating that some reductions in water withdrawals per unit of production were achieved in addition to reduced total water input costs. One of the beneficial outcomes of the project is an increase in water efficiency and therefore a reduced water withdrawal. To measure the success of the engagement activity, total water withdrawal and water efficiency have been used as relevant metrics.

**Comment**

No additional comment

---

**W2. Business impacts**

---

**W2.1**

**(W2.1) Has your organization experienced any detrimental water-related impacts?**

No

---

**W2.2**

**(W2.2) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?**

	Water-related regulatory violations	Fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties	Comment
Row 1	Yes	Fines	No additional comment

---

**W2.2a**



(W2.2a) Provide the total number and financial value of all water-related fines.

Row 1

Total number of fines

5

Total value of fines

9929

% of total facilities/operations associated

1

Number of fines compared to previous reporting year

About the same

Comment

The number of fines in the reporting year 2022 was about the same as in the previous year.

W2.2b

(W2.2b) Provide details for all significant fines, enforcement orders and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations in the reporting year, and your plans for resolving them.

Type of penalty

Fine

Financial impact

9200

Country/Area & River basin

United States of America	Mississippi River
--------------------------	-------------------

Type of incident

Effluent limit exceedances

Description of penalty, incident, regulatory violation, significance, and resolution

Violations of wastewater discharge (exceedances)

W3. Procedures

W3.1

(W3.1) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

	Identification and classification of potential water pollutants	How potential water pollutants are identified and classified	Please explain
Row 1	Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants	In our ESH Policy we state that "We conserve resources and prevent pollutions such as emissions to soil, air, water and wastes, as well as reduce the consumption of energy, water, raw materials and operation materials." In accordance with our ESH Policy and to the ISO 14001 Management System, an environmental impact assessment is conducted which includes water related risks such as effluents and pollutants. Potential pollutants are regularly identified and classified in our locations.	<Not Applicable>

W3.1a

**(W3.1a) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.**

**Water pollutant category**

Oil

**Description of water pollutant and potential impacts**

Oil has the potential to contaminate soil and groundwater and as a result to lead to harmful environmental impact to environment and society.

**Value chain stage**

Direct operations

**Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts**

Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

Implementation of integrated solid waste management systems

Reduction or phase out of hazardous substances

**Please explain**

critical infrastructure is assessed and leakage detection and secondary containment are installed locally. According to the hazardous substances management, we phase out substances which have negative impacts for water and soil.

---

**W3.3**

---

**(W3.3) Does your organization undertake a water-related risk assessment?**

Yes, water-related risks are assessed

**W3.3a**

---

(W3.3a) Select the options that best describe your procedures for identifying and assessing water-related risks.

**Value chain stage**

Direct operations

**Coverage**

Full

**Risk assessment procedure**

Water risks are assessed as a standalone issue

**Frequency of assessment**

Every two years

**How far into the future are risks considered?**

More than 6 years

**Type of tools and methods used**

Tools on the market

**Tools and methods used**

WRI Aqueduct

WWF Water Risk Filter

**Contextual issues considered**

Water availability at a basin/catchment level

Water quality at a basin/catchment level

Stakeholder conflicts concerning water resources at a basin/catchment level

Implications of water on your key commodities/raw materials

Water regulatory frameworks

Status of ecosystems and habitats

Access to fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services for all employees

**Stakeholders considered**

Employees

Local communities

NGOs

Regulators

Suppliers

**Comment**

No additional comment

---

**Value chain stage**

Supply chain

**Coverage**

Partial

**Risk assessment procedure**

Water risks are assessed as a standalone issue

**Frequency of assessment**

Every three years or more

**How far into the future are risks considered?**

More than 6 years

**Type of tools and methods used**

Tools on the market

**Tools and methods used**

EcoVadis

WRI Aqueduct

WWF Water Risk Filter

**Contextual issues considered**

Water availability at a basin/catchment level

Water quality at a basin/catchment level

Stakeholder conflicts concerning water resources at a basin/catchment level

Implications of water on your key commodities/raw materials

Water regulatory frameworks

Status of ecosystems and habitats

Access to fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services for all employees

**Stakeholders considered**

Suppliers

**Comment**

No additional comment

---

W3.3b

---

**(W3.3b) Describe your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and responding to water-related risks within your direct operations and other stages of your value chain.**

	Rationale for approach to risk assessment	Explanation of contextual issues considered	Explanation of stakeholder conflicts considered	Decision-making process for risk response
Row 1	<p>Identifying: We applied a variety of water risk assessment tools for the first time in 2018 in order to conduct an initial global assessment of our water risk profile using a wide range of indicators. This assessment was again updated in 2019 and in 2021. This involved using the WRI Aqueduct Tool and WWF Water Risk Filter to screen our global direct operations and Tier 1 suppliers (partial) for current and projected water quantity &amp; quality, regulatory and reputational risks.</p> <p>Assessing: These tools were selected due to the wide range of indicators used as well as their general uptake among competitors within our industry in order to ensure consistency in the results. We intend to re-evaluate these indicators every two years to take advantage of any updates to the publicly available datasets and ensure accurate risk profiles for strategy planning purposes. The full update of the water risk assessment was conducted in 2021 and included both the current water-related risks as well as future water-related risks (Next update: 2023). The initial analysis allowed us to determine which production sites and suppliers can be considered at risk from a water quantity or quality perspective. More specifically, we were able to identify basins designated as exhibiting "Extremely High Risk" of baseline water stress, which will be flagged for special attention in the future. The scope of this special attention depends on changes made to our current water policy and remains under discussion.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Water availability at a basin/catchment level: This issue was selected because it is very important to know the risk of this aspect in regards to ensure safe and continuous manufacturing processes and services in our locations</li> <li>- Water quality at a basin/catchment level: This issue was selected because it is very important to know the risk of this aspect in regards to ensure safe and continuous manufacturing processes and services in our locations</li> <li>- Implications of water on your key commodities/raw materials: This issue was selected because it is very important to know the risk of this aspect in regards to ensure safe and continuous manufacturing processes and services in our locations</li> <li>- Water regulatory frameworks: This issue was selected because it is very important to know the risk of this aspect in regards to ensure safe and continuous manufacturing processes and services in our locations</li> <li>- Status of ecosystems and habitats: This issue was selected because it is very important to know the risk of this aspect in regards to ensure safe and continuous manufacturing processes and services in our locations and to avoid harmful environmental impact</li> <li>- Access to fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services for all employees:</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Stakeholder conflicts concerning water resources at a basin/catchment level: This issue was selected because it is very important to know the risk of this aspect in regards to ensure safe and continuous manufacturing processes and services in our locations and to avoid harmful environmental impact</li> </ul>	<p>Responding: The water related targets of Continentals environmental strategy are based on the outcomes of the water risk assessment. Locations which have been identified to have the potential to have a substantive financial and strategic impact on our business have to save more water than the locations facing a low to medium risk. That means that those locations have to take more actions in order to respond to the risk they are facing and to actively consider the usage of the available water resources.</p>

**W4. Risks and opportunities**

**W4.1**

**(W4.1) Have you identified any inherent water-related risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?**

Yes, both in direct operations and the rest of our value chain

**W4.1a**

**(W4.1a) How does your organization define substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?**

We consider substantive financial or strategic impact to our business to be defined as the highest level of baseline water stress as defined by our global WRI Aqueduct risk analysis (Level 5 - Extremely High Risk), which is a quantitative risk metric indicating the ratio of total annual water withdrawals to total annual renewable water supply within a defined basin. The highest risk level indicates that 80% or more of total water available is being withdrawn for human purposes. We do not currently recognize direct or indirect operational sites located in basins below the 80% threshold as subject to substantive risk, however we may modify this definition in the future to include more sites. A first result of this assessment in 2021 was that 69 locations are facing an extremely high risk in terms of baseline water stress (current level and in the future (focus on 2030)). Secondly, those locations have been assessed again in terms of substantive financial impacts on our business and were rated again.

Based on this method, six facilities within our direct operations fall within our high risk threshold while one of our priority suppliers (indirect operations) can be categorized as high risk according to our definition.

As a result of this analysis, many of our production sites located in Mexico have been targeted for special water-efficiency measures and engagement with the water topic due to the large number of facilities located within high-risk basins.

**W4.1b**

**(W4.1b) What is the total number of facilities exposed to water risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and what proportion of your company-wide facilities does this represent?**

	Total number of facilities exposed to water risk	% company-wide facilities this represents	Comment
Row 1	6	1-25	Roughly 3 % of all production sites are impacted with regard to the highest level of baseline water stress as defined by WRI. Focus countries include Mexico, India, South Africa and China.

**W4.1c**

**(W4.1c) By river basin, what is the number and proportion of facilities exposed to water risks that could have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and what is the potential business impact associated with those facilities?**

**Country/Area & River basin**

Mexico	Bravo
--------	-------

**Number of facilities exposed to water risk**

1

**% company-wide facilities this represents**

Less than 1%

**Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's total global revenue that could be affected**

Less than 1%

**Comment**

No additional comment

**Country/Area & River basin**

Mexico	Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)
--------	--------------------------------

**Number of facilities exposed to water risk**

1

**% company-wide facilities this represents**

Less than 1%

**Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's total global revenue that could be affected**

Less than 1%

**Comment**

No additional comment

---

**Country/Area & River basin**

India	Ganges - Brahmaputra
-------	----------------------

**Number of facilities exposed to water risk**

1

**% company-wide facilities this represents**

Less than 1%

**Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's total global revenue that could be affected**

Less than 1%

**Comment**

No additional comment

---

**Country/Area & River basin**

South Africa	Other, please specify (Algoa)
--------------	-------------------------------

**Number of facilities exposed to water risk**

1

**% company-wide facilities this represents**

Less than 1%

**Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's total global revenue that could be affected**

Less than 1%

**Comment**

No additional comment

---

**Country/Area & River basin**

China	Other, please specify (Weishan Hu)
-------	------------------------------------

**Number of facilities exposed to water risk**

1

**% company-wide facilities this represents**

Less than 1%

**Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's total global revenue that could be affected**

Less than 1%

**Comment**

No additional comment

---

**Country/Area & River basin**

China	Huang He (Yellow River)
-------	-------------------------

**Number of facilities exposed to water risk**

1

**% company-wide facilities this represents**

Less than 1%

**Production value for the metals & mining activities associated with these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities**

<Not Applicable>

**% company's total global revenue that could be affected**

Less than 1%

**Comment**

No additional comment

**W4.2**

**(W4.2) Provide details of identified risks in your direct operations with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and your response to those risks.**

**Country/Area & River basin**

Mexico	Bravo
--------	-------

**Type of risk & Primary risk driver**

Chronic physical	Water stress
------------------	--------------

**Primary potential impact**

Constraint to growth

**Company-specific description**

This basin was designated as extremely high risk in terms of baseline water stress in accordance with the global WRI Aqueduct water risk assessment described above and therefore flagged for our attention. A lack of available freshwater could be a limiting factor in our productive rubber products capacity, particularly in light of our expected growth forecasts in this expanding domestic market and therefore further investigation will be necessary to determine the appropriate engagement/response from a water perspective. As a response to the risks detected, several additional water reduction initiatives have been implemented and will be implemented in the future. In detail: After this specific risk has been detected, a local team was set up in order to further evaluate how to best react. The risks are addressed both in the location and in the Group Sector. Actions taken comprise short-term activities (up to 2 years) as well as medium-term mitigation measures (up to 5 years). Depending on the local conditions, detailed action plans have been developed.

**Timeframe**

1-3 years

**Magnitude of potential impact**

Medium-low

**Likelihood**

Likely

**Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?**

Yes, an estimated range

**Potential financial impact figure (currency)**

<Not Applicable>

**Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)**

5000000

**Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)**

5000000

**Explanation of financial impact**

5,000,000 = average of estimated range of impact (1 - 10,000,000). The calculation of direct financial impact is in the beginning phases only and obtaining precise figures remains difficult at this stage. We have identified an early estimated figure regarding financial impact and have initiated countermeasures to address this impact, however the precise figures remain confidential at present.

**Primary response to risk**

Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

**Description of response**

ESH Managers and Facilities generally are trained in water-use efficiency and technical solutions to save water. Furthermore, the water specific targets of Continentals environmental strategy are based on the outcomes of the water risk assessment. Locations which have been identified to have the potential to have a substantive financial and strategic impact on our business have to save more water than the locations facing a low to medium risk. That means that those locations have to take more actions in

order to respond to the risk they are facing and to actively consider the usage of the available water resources. Affected locations are monitored to track the progress of the actions taken and to oversee if measures taken were successful.

**Cost of response**

1

**Explanation of cost of response**

All individual measures are calculated at the individual site level and at present are not aggregated at the corporate level.

1 = Figures for cost of response are considered confidential

**Country/Area & River basin**

Mexico	Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)
--------	--------------------------------

**Type of risk & Primary risk driver**

Chronic physical	Water stress
------------------	--------------

**Primary potential impact**

Constraint to growth

**Company-specific description**

This basin was designated as extremely high risk in terms of baseline water stress in accordance with the global WRI Aqueduct water risk assessment described above and therefore flagged for our attention. A lack of available freshwater could be a limiting factor in our productive capacity, particularly in light of our expected growth forecasts in this expanding domestic market and therefore further investigation will be necessary to determine the appropriate engagement/response from a water perspective. As a response to the risks detected, several additional water reduction initiatives have been implemented and will be implemented in the future. In detail: After this specific risk has been detected, a local team was set up in order to further evaluate how to best react. The risks are addressed both in the location and in the Group Sector. Actions taken comprise short-term activities (up to 2 years) as well as medium-term mitigation measures (up to 5 years). Depending on the local conditions, detailed action plans have been developed.

**Timeframe**

1-3 years

**Magnitude of potential impact**

Medium-low

**Likelihood**

Likely

**Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?**

Yes, an estimated range

**Potential financial impact figure (currency)**

<Not Applicable>

**Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)**

5000000

**Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)**

5000000

**Explanation of financial impact**

5,000,000 = average of estimated range of impact (1 - 10,000,000). The calculation of direct financial impact is in the beginning phases only and obtaining precise figures remains difficult at this stage. We have identified an early estimated figure regarding financial impact and have initiated countermeasures to address this impact, however the precise figures remain confidential at present.

**Primary response to risk**

Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

**Description of response**

ESH Managers and Facilities generally are trained in water-use efficiency and technical solutions to save water. Furthermore, the water specific targets of Continentals environmental strategy are based on the outcomes of the water risk assessment. Locations which have been identified to have the potential to have a substantive financial and strategic impact on our business have to save more water than the locations facing a low to medium risk. That means that those locations have to take more actions in order to respond to the risk they are facing and to actively consider the usage of the available water resources. Affected locations are monitored to track the progress of the actions taken and to oversee if measures taken were successful.

**Cost of response**

1

**Explanation of cost of response**

All individual measures are calculated at the individual site level and at present are not aggregated at the corporate level.

1 = Figures for cost of response are considered confidential

**Country/Area & River basin**

India	Ganges - Brahmaputra
-------	----------------------

**Type of risk & Primary risk driver**

Chronic physical	Water stress
------------------	--------------

**Primary potential impact**



Constraint to growth

#### Company-specific description

This basin was designated as extremely high risk in terms of baseline water stress in accordance with the global WRI Aqueduct water risk assessment described above and therefore flagged for our attention. A lack of available freshwater could be a limiting factor in our productive capacity, particularly in light of our expected growth forecasts in this expanding domestic market and therefore further investigation will be necessary to determine the appropriate engagement/response from a water perspective. As a response to the risks detected, several additional water reduction initiatives have been implemented and will be implemented in the future. In detail: After this specific risk has been detected, a local team was set up in order to further evaluate how to best react. The risks are addressed both in the location and in the Group Sector. Actions taken comprise short-term activities (up to 2 years) as well as medium-term mitigation measures (up to 5 years). Depending on the local conditions, detailed action plans have been developed.

#### Timeframe

1-3 years

#### Magnitude of potential impact

Medium

#### Likelihood

Likely

#### Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, an estimated range

#### Potential financial impact figure (currency)

<Not Applicable>

#### Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

5000000

#### Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

5000000

#### Explanation of financial impact

5,000,000 = average of estimated range of impact (1 - 10,000,000). The calculation of direct financial impact is in the beginning phases only and obtaining precise figures remains difficult at this stage. We have identified an early estimated figure regarding financial impact and have initiated countermeasures to address this impact, however the precise figures remain confidential at present.

#### Primary response to risk

Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

#### Description of response

ESH Managers and Facilities generally are trained in water-use efficiency and technical solutions to save water. Furthermore, the water specific targets of Continentals environmental strategy are based on the outcomes of the water risk assessment. Locations which have been identified to have the potential to have a substantive financial and strategic impact on our business have to save more water than the locations facing a low to medium risk. That means that those locations have to take more actions in order to respond to the risk they are facing and to actively consider the usage of the available water resources. Affected locations are monitored to track the progress of the actions taken and to oversee if measures taken were successful.

#### Cost of response

1

#### Explanation of cost of response

All individual measures are calculated at the individual site level and at present are not aggregated at the corporate level.

1 = Figures for cost of response are considered confidential

#### Country/Area & River basin

South Africa	Other, please specify (Algoa)
--------------	-------------------------------

#### Type of risk & Primary risk driver

Chronic physical	Water stress
------------------	--------------

#### Primary potential impact

Constraint to growth

#### Company-specific description

This basin was designated as extremely high risk in terms of baseline water stress in accordance with the global WRI Aqueduct water risk assessment described above and therefore flagged for our attention. A lack of available freshwater could be a limiting factor in our productive capacity, particularly with respect to the production of steam, which specifically relevant to this facility and could lead to higher production. As a response to the risks detected, several additional water reduction initiatives have been implemented and will be implemented in the future. In detail: After this specific risk has been detected, a local team was set up in order to further evaluate how to best react. The risks are addressed both in the location and in the Group Sector. Actions taken comprise short-term activities (up to 2 years) as well as medium-term mitigation measures (up to 5 years). Depending on the local conditions, detailed action plans have been developed.

#### Timeframe

1-3 years

#### Magnitude of potential impact

Medium

#### Likelihood

Likely

#### Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, an estimated range

**Potential financial impact figure (currency)**

<Not Applicable>

**Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)**

5000000

**Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)**

5000000

**Explanation of financial impact**

5,000,000 = average of estimated range of impact (1 - 10,000,000). The calculation of direct financial impact is in the beginning phases only and obtaining precise figures remains difficult at this stage. We have identified an early estimated figure regarding financial impact and have initiated countermeasures to address this impact, however the precise figures remain confidential at present.

**Primary response to risk**

Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

**Description of response**

ESH Managers and Facilities generally are trained in water-use efficiency and technical solutions to save water. Furthermore, the water specific targets of Continentals environmental strategy are based on the outcomes of the water risk assessment. Locations which have been identified to have the potential to have a substantive financial and strategic impact on our business have to save more water than the locations facing a low to medium risk. That means that those locations have to take more actions in order to respond to the risk they are facing and to actively consider the usage of the available water resources. Affected locations are monitored to track the progress of the actions taken and to oversee if measures taken were successful.

**Cost of response**

1

**Explanation of cost of response**

All individual measures are calculated at the individual site level and at present are not aggregated at the corporate level.

1 = Figures for cost of response are considered confidential

**Country/Area & River basin**

China	Other, please specify (Weishan Hu)
-------	------------------------------------

**Type of risk & Primary risk driver**

Chronic physical	Water stress
------------------	--------------

**Primary potential impact**

Constraint to growth

**Company-specific description**

This basin was designated as extremely high risk in terms of baseline water stress in accordance with the global WRI Aqueduct water risk assessment described above and therefore flagged for our attention. A lack of available freshwater could be a limiting factor in our productive capacity, particularly in light of our expected growth forecasts in this expanding domestic market and therefore further investigation will be necessary to determine the appropriate engagement/response from a water perspective.

After this specific risk has been detected, a local team was set up in order to further evaluate how to best react. The risks are addressed both in the location and in the Group Sector. Actions taken comprise short-term activities (up to 2 years) as well as medium-term mitigation measures (up to 5 years). Depending on the local conditions, detailed action plans have been developed.

**Timeframe**

1-3 years

**Magnitude of potential impact**

Medium

**Likelihood**

Likely

**Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?**

Yes, an estimated range

**Potential financial impact figure (currency)**

<Not Applicable>

**Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)**

5000000

**Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)**

5000000

**Explanation of financial impact**

5,000,000 = average of estimated range of impact (1 - 10,000,000). The calculation of direct financial impact is in the beginning phases only and obtaining precise figures remains difficult at this stage. We have identified an early estimated figure regarding financial impact and have initiated countermeasures to address this impact, however the precise figures remain confidential at present.

**Primary response to risk**

Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

**Description of response**

ESH Managers and Facilities generally are trained in water-use efficiency and technical solutions to save water. Furthermore, the water specific targets of Continentals environmental strategy are based on the outcomes of the water risk assessment. Locations which have been identified to have the potential to have a substantive financial and strategic impact on our business have to save more water than the locations facing a low to medium risk. That means that those locations have to take more actions in order to respond to the risk they are facing and to actively consider the usage of the available water resources. Affected locations are monitored to track the progress of the

actions taken and to oversee if measures taken were successful.

#### Cost of response

1

#### Explanation of cost of response

All individual measures are calculated at the individual site level and at present are not aggregated at the corporate level.

1 = Figures for cost of response are considered confidential

---

#### Country/Area & River basin

China	Huang He (Yellow River)
-------	-------------------------

#### Type of risk & Primary risk driver

Chronic physical	Water stress
------------------	--------------

#### Primary potential impact

Constraint to growth

#### Company-specific description

This basin was designated as extremely high risk in terms of baseline water stress in accordance with the global WRI Aqueduct water risk assessment described above and therefore flagged for our attention. A lack of available freshwater could be a limiting factor in our productive capacity, particularly in light of our expected growth forecasts in this expanding domestic market and therefore further investigation will be necessary to determine the appropriate engagement/response from a water perspective.

After this specific risk has been detected, a local team was set up in order to further evaluate how to best react. The risks are addressed both in the location and in the Group Sector. Actions taken comprise short-term activities (up to 2 years) as well as medium-term mitigation measures (up to 5 years). Depending on the local conditions, detailed action plans have been developed.

#### Timeframe

1-3 years

#### Magnitude of potential impact

Medium

#### Likelihood

Likely

#### Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, an estimated range

#### Potential financial impact figure (currency)

<Not Applicable>

#### Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

5000000

#### Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

5000000

#### Explanation of financial impact

5,000,000 = average of estimated range of impact (1 - 10,000,000). The calculation of direct financial impact is in the beginning phases only and obtaining precise figures remains difficult at this stage. We have identified an early estimated figure regarding financial impact and have initiated countermeasures to address this impact, however the precise figures remain confidential at present.

#### Primary response to risk

Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

#### Description of response

ESH Managers and Facilities generally are trained in water-use efficiency and technical solutions to save water. Furthermore, the water specific targets of Continentals environmental strategy are based on the outcomes of the water risk assessment. Locations which have been identified to have the potential to have a substantive financial and strategic impact on our business have to save more water than the locations facing a low to medium risk. That means that those locations have to take more actions in order to respond to the risk they are facing and to actively consider the usage of the available water resources. Affected locations are monitored to track the progress of the actions taken and to oversee if measures taken were successful.

#### Cost of response

1

#### Explanation of cost of response

All individual measures are calculated at the individual site level and at present are not aggregated at the corporate level.

1 = Figures for cost of response are considered confidential

---

W4.2a

**(W4.2a) Provide details of risks identified within your value chain (beyond direct operations) with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and your response to those risks.**

**Country/Area & River basin**

China	Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)
-------	-----------------------------

**Stage of value chain**

Supply chain

**Type of risk & Primary risk driver**

Chronic physical	Water stress
------------------	--------------

**Primary potential impact**

Disruption to sales due to value chain disrruption

**Company-specific description**

This basin in which one of our Chinese suppliers is located was designated as extremely high risk in terms of baseline water stress in accordance with the global WRI Aqueduct water risk assessment described above and therefore flagged for our attention. A lack of available freshwater could impact our procurement of necessary raw materials and input components required by our production facilities and therefore further investigation will be necessary to determine the appropriate engagement/response with such suppliers from a water perspective.

**Timeframe**

1-3 years

**Magnitude of potential impact**

Medium

**Likelihood**

Likely

**Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?**

Yes, an estimated range

**Potential financial impact figure (currency)**

<Not Applicable>

**Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)**

5000000

**Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)**

5000000

**Explanation of financial impact**

5,000,000 = average of estimated range of impact (1 - 10,000,000). The calculation of direct financial impact is in the beginning phases only and obtaining precise figures remains difficult at this stage. We have identified an early estimated figure regarding financial impact and have initiated countermeasures to address this impact, however the precise figures remain confidential at present.

**Primary response to risk**

Upstream	Map supplier water risk
----------	-------------------------

**Description of response**

The designation of suppliers from this basin as belonging to the extremely high-risk category was determined based on an initial global water risk assessment and therefore further refinement of the risk assessment and engagement with affected suppliers will be necessary to determine an appropriate and targeted response to lessen risk from water stress.

**Cost of response**

1

**Explanation of cost of response**

Further refinements to the risk assessment using additional indicators shall be conducted using WRI Aqueduct Tool and WWF Water Risk Filter to take into account issues regarding water quality, flooding, rainfall variability and other factors to narrow down the scope of the risk to suppliers within this basin. Subsequent high-level engagement with the supplier shall initiate a deeper assessment based on local knowledge to determine an initial course of action. Such due diligence and risk mitigation planning is not considered to have significant costs at the pre-implementation phase.

1 = Figures for cost of response are considered confidential

**W4.3**

**(W4.3) Have you identified any water-related opportunities with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?**

No

**W4.3b**

**(W4.3b) Why does your organization not consider itself to have water-related opportunities?**

	Primary reason	Please explain
Row 1	Opportunities exist, but none with potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on business	<p>In some areas of the Group Sector ContiTech we provide solutions to save water or to reduce water losses by evaporation such as foils, hoses and pipes. Those products reduce water leakages and water losses towards the environment. However, this is not a major portion of or business or production costs since we do not expect that those products will exceed the threshold of 1* for a substantive opportunity in regards to sales volumes within the next years.</p> <p>Opportunities are regularly elaborated and assessed in our Environmental and in our Engineering departments on Group Sector and on location level. If opportunities (e.g. increased water efficiency or water savings) are exceeding the internal threshold of 1* and can be realized (technically) on location level, measures might be implemented. The assessments are done regularly but at least yearly. The next assessment will be repeated in the upcoming year.</p> <p>*1 = Figures for threshold of substantive opportunities are considered confidential</p>

**W5. Facility-level water accounting**

**W5.1**

**(W5.1) For each facility referenced in W4.1c, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.**

**Facility reference number**

Facility 1

**Facility name (optional)**

**Country/Area & River basin**

Mexico	Bravo
--------	-------

**Latitude**

28.7

**Longitude**

-106.1

**Located in area with water stress**

Yes

**Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility**

<Not Applicable>

**Oil & gas sector business division**

<Not Applicable>

**Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)**

73248

**Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Lower

**Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

31434

**Withdrawals from third party sources**

41814

**Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)**

28256

**Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Higher

**Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**Discharges to groundwater**

0

**Discharges to third party destinations**

28256

**Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)**

44992

**Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year**

Lower

**Please explain**

The implementation of water-use efficiency measures at this facility and an increased amount of water discharged resulted in a decrease in the level of water withdrawals and consumption compared to the previous reporting year.

---

**Facility reference number**

Facility 2

**Facility name (optional)**

**Country/Area & River basin**

Mexico	Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)
--------	--------------------------------

**Latitude**

31.3

**Longitude**

-110.9

**Located in area with water stress**

Yes

**Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility**

<Not Applicable>

**Oil & gas sector business division**

<Not Applicable>

**Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)**

33635

**Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

About the same

**Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**Withdrawals from third party sources**

33635

**Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)**

10091

**Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

About the same

**Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**Discharges to groundwater**

0

**Discharges to third party destinations**

10091

**Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)**

23544

**Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year**

About the same

**Please explain**

An undisrupted production and the stable volumes in production after the COVID-19 pandemic resulted in the same level of water withdrawals, discharge and consumption compared to the previous reporting year.

---

**Facility reference number**

Facility 3

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

India	Ganges - Brahmaputra
-------	----------------------

Latitude

28.4

Longitude

77

Located in area with water stress

Yes

Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility

<Not Applicable>

Oil & gas sector business division

<Not Applicable>

Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)

17164

Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Higher

Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

2700

Withdrawals from third party sources

14464

Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)

10289

Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Higher

Discharges to fresh surface water

0

Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

Discharges to groundwater

0

Discharges to third party destinations

10289

Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)

6875

Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Higher

Please explain

An undisrupted production and the volume increase in production after the COVID-19 pandemic resulted in an increase in the level of water withdrawals, discharge and consumption compared to the previous reporting year.

Facility reference number

Facility 4

Facility name (optional)

Country/Area & River basin

South Africa	Other, please specify (Algoa)
--------------	-------------------------------

Latitude

-33.9

Longitude

25.6

**Located in area with water stress**

Yes

**Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility**

<Not Applicable>

**Oil & gas sector business division**

<Not Applicable>

**Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)**

51300

**Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Lower

**Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**Withdrawals from third party sources**

51300

**Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)**

13693

**Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Lower

**Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**Discharges to groundwater**

0

**Discharges to third party destinations**

13693

**Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)**

37607

**Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year**

About the same

**Please explain**

Besides the implementation of water-use efficiency measures at this facility, the effects in temporary shut-downs due to limited availability of energy resulted in a slight decrease in the level of water withdrawals, discharge and consumption compared to the previous reporting year

---

**Facility reference number**

Facility 5

**Facility name (optional)**

**Country/Area & River basin**

China	Other, please specify (Weishan Hu)
-------	------------------------------------

**Latitude**

35.6

**Longitude**

117

**Located in area with water stress**

Yes

**Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility**

<Not Applicable>

**Oil & gas sector business division**

<Not Applicable>

**Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)**

24928



**Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

About the same

**Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**Withdrawals from third party sources**

24928

**Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)**

3488

**Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

About the same

**Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**Discharges to groundwater**

0

**Discharges to third party destinations**

3488

**Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)**

21440

**Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year**

About the same

**Please explain**

An undisrupted production and the volume increase in production after the COVID-19 pandemic with implemented water efficiency measures resulted in a stable level of water withdrawals, discharge and consumption compared to the previous reporting year.

---

**Facility reference number**

Facility 6

**Facility name (optional)**

**Country/Area & River basin**

China	Huang He (Yellow River)
-------	-------------------------

**Latitude**

36.8

**Longitude**

117.2

**Located in area with water stress**

Yes

**Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility**

<Not Applicable>

**Oil & gas sector business division**

<Not Applicable>

**Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)**

6558

**Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year**

Lower

**Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes**

0

**Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable**

0

**Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable**

0

**Withdrawals from produced/entrained water**

0

**Withdrawals from third party sources**

6558

**Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)**

1773

**Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year**

Lower

**Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

**Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater**

0

**Discharges to groundwater**

0

**Discharges to third party destinations**

1773

**Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)**

4785

**Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year**

About the same

**Please explain**

As a result of the implementation of water-use efficiency measures at this facility, a decrease in in the level of water withdrawals and discharge led to a consumption figure which is about the same compared to the previous reporting year.

---

**W5.1a**

---

**(W5.1a) For the facilities referenced in W5.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?**

**Water withdrawals – total volumes**

**% verified**

76-100

**Verification standard used**

Data were verified according to the ISAE 3000 Standard and verified by PwC.

**Please explain**

<Not Applicable>

**Water withdrawals – volume by source**

**% verified**

76-100

**Verification standard used**

Data were verified according to the ISAE 3000 Standard and verified by PwC.

**Please explain**

<Not Applicable>

**Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters**

**% verified**

Not verified

**Verification standard used**

<Not Applicable>

**Please explain**

Verification for this aspect was not in the scope of the audit due to limited resources.

**Water discharges – total volumes**

**% verified**

Not verified

**Verification standard used**

<Not Applicable>

**Please explain**

Verification for this aspect was not in the scope of the audit due to limited resources.

**Water discharges – volume by destination**

**% verified**  
Not verified

**Verification standard used**  
<Not Applicable>

**Please explain**  
Verification for this aspect was not in the scope of the audit due to limited resources.

**Water discharges – volume by final treatment level**

**% verified**  
Not verified

**Verification standard used**  
<Not Applicable>

**Please explain**  
Verification for this aspect was not in the scope of the audit due to limited resources.

**Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters**

**% verified**  
Not verified

**Verification standard used**  
<Not Applicable>

**Please explain**  
Verification for this aspect was not in the scope of the audit due to limited resources.

**Water consumption – total volume**

**% verified**  
Not verified

**Verification standard used**  
<Not Applicable>

**Please explain**  
Verification for this aspect was not in the scope of the audit due to limited resources.

**W6. Governance**

---

**W6.1**

---

**(W6.1) Does your organization have a water policy?**

Yes, we have a documented water policy that is publicly available

**W6.1a**

---

**(W6.1a) Select the options that best describe the scope and content of your water policy.**

	Scope	Content	Please explain
Row 1	Company-wide	Description of business impact on water Commitment to reduce water withdrawal and/or consumption volumes in direct operations Commitment to safely managed Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) in the workplace Reference to company water-related targets Recognition of environmental linkages, for example, due to climate change	While we are currently discussing changes to our existing water policy and strategy, at present our policy focuses on our impacts and targets at the facility-level (direct operations) only.

**W6.2**

---

**(W6.2) Is there board level oversight of water-related issues within your organization?**

Yes

**W6.2a**

---

**(W6.2a) Identify the position(s) (do not include any names) of the individual(s) on the board with responsibility for water-related issues.**

Position of individual or committee	Responsibilities for water-related issues
Chief Executive Officer (CEO)	Our environmental strategy is reviewed by the Executive Board as a whole on a regular basis in order to ensure consistency with other corporate policies and provide strategic vision. Our CEO in particular is responsible for matters related to Quality and Environment and thereby has direct responsibility for any strategic priorities related to our water strategy. For example, in 2021 our CEO decided to be the representative in the CEO Water Mandate to ensure best practice exchange on water related topics with other members around the globe.
Board-level committee	The Group Sustainability Steering Committee is responsible for assessing interdepartmental issues, weighing up risks and opportunities and discussing relevant Executive Board decisions in advance. In fiscal 2022, it consisted of three members of the Executive Board (chairman of the Executive Board, Group Sustainability, Group Finance and Controlling) as well as the heads of the sustainability functions at group level and group sector level and the heads of other relevant group functions. The committee is chaired by the Executive Board member for Group Sustainability and managed by the head of the Group Sustainability group function. Some of the group sectors have their own interdepartmental sustainability committees, which are coordinated by the relevant sustainability functions.
Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)	Ultimate responsibility for sustainability lies with the Executive Board member for Group Human Relations and Group Sustainability, under whose supervision the Group Sustainability group function is responsible for sustainability management in the Continental Group. Sustainability organization is further supplemented by sustainability functions in the group sectors as well as coordinators in several business areas and countries.

**W6.2b**

**(W6.2b) Provide further details on the board's oversight of water-related issues.**

	Frequency that water-related issues are a scheduled agenda item	Governance mechanisms into which water-related issues are integrated	Please explain
Row 1	Scheduled - some meetings	Monitoring implementation and performance Overseeing major capital expenditures Reviewing and guiding corporate responsibility strategy Reviewing and guiding risk management policies Reviewing and guiding strategy Reviewing innovation/R&D priorities Setting performance objectives	Influence is exercised by the Executive Board and Sustainability committee with respect to strategic vision, integration with other sustainability topics and Group-wide coordination of monitoring and efficiency efforts. This includes special attention from our CEO who is responsible for matters related to Quality and Environment and therefore provides strategic input into the development of our water policy based on current activities and projected environmental risks (i.e. water risks). All information related to current water performance indicators, monitoring issues, water-related risks and supply chain management are provide and prepared by Continental's Head of Group Environment who briefs higher management on these matters. Periodic meetings with the highest level of management ensure that water issues remain present in financial decision making. At the same time, it ensures that the mitigation of water-related risks are sufficiently coordinated with other related environmental policies.

**W6.2d**

**(W6.2d) Does your organization have at least one board member with competence on water-related issues?**

	Board member(s) have competence on water-related issues	Criteria used to assess competence of board member(s) on water-related issues	Primary reason for no board-level competence on water-related issues	Explain why your organization does not have at least one board member with competence on water-related issues and any plans to address board-level competence in the future
Row 1	Yes	Our CEO is represented as member in the CEO Water Mandate. Ultimate responsibility for sustainability lies with the Executive Board member for Group Human Relations and Group Sustainability, under whose supervision the Group Sustainability group function is responsible for sustainability management in the Continental Group. The Executive Board member for Group Sustainability is officially appointed from the supervisory board.	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>

**W6.3**

(W6.3) Provide the highest management-level position(s) or committee(s) with responsibility for water-related issues (do not include the names of individuals).

**Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)**

Other C-Suite Officer, please specify (Board Member of HR & Sustainability and CFO)

**Water-related responsibilities of this position**

Assessing water-related risks and opportunities  
Managing water-related risks and opportunities  
Monitoring progress against water-related corporate targets

**Frequency of reporting to the board on water-related issues**

Quarterly

**Please explain**

Our Board Member for HR & Sustainability as well our CFO, both of whom also occupy the Executive Board, lead the Sustainability Committee. This committee regularly reviews all sustainability-relevant topics and acts as the decision board for strategy implementation, which includes all water-related topics. Quarterly reports are delivered to the Executive Board as a whole and with special attention given to the CEO outlining progress with respect to the implementation of strategy as well as recommendations for future policy changes or other strategic decisions.  
The latest status of the water risk assessments are regularly reported to the Executive Board members.

---

**Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)**

Environment/Sustainability manager

**Water-related responsibilities of this position**

Assessing water-related risks and opportunities  
Managing water-related risks and opportunities

**Frequency of reporting to the board on water-related issues**

Quarterly

**Please explain**

At the Group Sector level, the Heads of Environment work on water-related topics, strategy, risk assessments, target setting and performance. Any information provided by this management level is provided to the Board level to support decision making.

---

**Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)**

Environmental, health, and safety manager

**Water-related responsibilities of this position**

Assessing water-related risks and opportunities  
Managing water-related risks and opportunities

**Frequency of reporting to the board on water-related issues**

More frequently than quarterly

**Please explain**

At the plant level, the EHS Manager(s) are responsible for executing and monitoring the performance of water targets. This is done in collaboration with the plant management and the facility management.

---

**Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)**

Facilities manager

**Water-related responsibilities of this position**

Assessing water-related risks and opportunities  
Managing water-related risks and opportunities

**Frequency of reporting to the board on water-related issues**

More frequently than quarterly

**Please explain**

At the plant level, the EHS Manager(s) are responsible for executing and monitoring the performance of water targets. This is done in collaboration with the plant management and the facility management.

---

**Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)**

Process operation manager

**Water-related responsibilities of this position**

Assessing future trends in water demand  
Assessing water-related risks and opportunities  
Managing water-related risks and opportunities

**Frequency of reporting to the board on water-related issues**

More frequently than quarterly

**Please explain**

At the plant level, the EHS Manager(s) are responsible for executing and monitoring the performance of water targets. This is done in collaboration with the plant management and the facility management.

---

W6.4

---

**(W6.4) Do you provide incentives to C-suite employees or board members for the management of water-related issues?**

	Provide incentives for management of water-related issues	Comment
Row 1	No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years	Sustainability goals are part of the incentivization scheme at Continental.

**W6.5**

**(W6.5) Do you engage in activities that could either directly or indirectly influence public policy on water through any of the following?**

- Yes, direct engagement with policy makers
- Yes, trade associations
- Yes, other

**W6.5a**

**(W6.5a) What processes do you have in place to ensure that all of your direct and indirect activities seeking to influence policy are consistent with your water policy/water commitments?**

The sustainability committee has primary ownership of sustainability issues and is responsible for monitoring and reviewing all major environmental-related, risks, and water-related activities to ensure that they are aligned with our sustainability action plan. They are also (together with Public Affairs) responsible for the partnerships and collaborations related to sustainability. Any inconsistencies in our processes to influence policy in relation to these are first discussed in this forum and then followed by decisions. This governance structure ensures that our activities are consistent and aligned with our sustainability targets.

Additionally, Continental is part of the CEO Water Mandate to be regularly informed and aligned with other companies and organizations in regards to influencing public policy.

**W6.6**

**(W6.6) Did your organization include information about its response to water-related risks in its most recent mainstream financial report?**

- Yes (you may attach the report - this is optional)
- continental\_annual\_report\_2022.pdf

**W7. Business strategy**

**W7.1**

**(W7.1) Are water-related issues integrated into any aspects of your long-term strategic business plan, and if so how?**

	Are water-related issues integrated?	Long-term time horizon (years)	Please explain
Long-term business objectives	Yes, water-related issues are integrated	16-20	We monitor both current and long-run projections of water risk indicators critical to our operations for both direct operations and critical supply chain basins on an annual basis using the WRI Aqueduct tool and WWF Water Risk Filter. Water risk indicators considered important to our long-term business objectives and strategic planning include changes in water stress, demand, supply and temporal variability in such supplies. A time horizon of 16-20 years was selected to anticipate changes to key water indicators with enough lead time to consider changes to our procurement strategy for key raw materials and ensure resilience - for example natural rubber plantations require 6-10 years after planting to deliver commodities - as well as to integrate findings into our 2030 sustainability strategy.
Strategy for achieving long-term objectives	Yes, water-related issues are integrated	16-20	We monitor both current and long-run projections of water risk indicators critical to our operations for both direct operations and critical supply chain basins on an annual basis using the WRI Aqueduct tool and WWF Water Risk Filter. Water risk indicators considered important to our long-term business objectives and strategic planning include changes in water stress, demand, supply and temporal variability in such supplies. A time horizon of 16-20 years was selected to anticipate changes to key water indicators with enough lead time to consider changes to our procurement strategy for key raw materials and ensure resilience - for example natural rubber plantations require 6-10 years after planting to deliver commodities - as well as to integrate findings into our 2030 sustainability strategy.
Financial planning	No, water-related issues were reviewed but not considered as strategically relevant/significant	16-20	Current and long-term water risk indicators were reviewed as they were for long-term business objectives and strategic planning, however the findings were not determined to be immediately critical from a financial planning point of view. This may change in the future as we develop our water strategy further.

**W7.2**

(W7.2) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

Row 1

Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

1

Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

0

Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

1

Please explain

Capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) remained the same compared to the previous reporting year and did not show any change in %. Besides difficulties in predicting future changes in CAPEX/OPEX, we expect these expenditures to remain roughly stable on a pre-pandemic level in next year. CAPEX / OPEX was spent on water efficiency projects and equipment.

### W7.3

(W7.3) Does your organization use scenario analysis to inform its business strategy?

	Use of scenario analysis	Comment
Row 1	Yes	Climate related scenarios are used for strategic planning of greenfields and for R&D aspects of product development. In addition, our long-run water risk assessments using the WRI Aqueduct tool take into account various climate scenarios to determine projections in water stress and supply.

### W7.3a

(W7.3a) Provide details of the scenario analysis, what water-related outcomes were identified, and how they have influenced your organization's business strategy.

	Type of scenario analysis used	Parameters, assumptions, analytical choices	Description of possible water-related outcomes	Influence on business strategy
Row 1	Water-related Climate-related	Baseline water stress (BWS) and future baseline water stress (business as usual, target year 2030)	The RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 climate scenarios were used by the WRI Aqueduct Tool as optimistic and business as usual climate scenarios respectively to model changes in water stress and supply over long time horizons (i.e. 2020, 2030 and 2040). These models were used in combination with other indicators to determine where water stress and supply are projected to worsen due to supply side (climate change-related) reasons. We were able to determine that even using the optimistic RCP 4.5 model we will likely see a worsening of water stress due to climate change in several of the high-risk basins where we have direct operations or source raw materials, such as in Mexico.	We anticipate engaging in identified high-risk basins in a more systematic manner moving forward, as indicated by our early efforts to engage with our supply chains in Mexico, due to immediate and long-term water scarcity concerns. This is anticipated to be clarified as part of our 2030 sustainability strategy and implemented over the decade.

### W7.4

(W7.4) Does your company use an internal price on water?

Row 1

Does your company use an internal price on water?

No, but we are currently exploring water valuation practices

Please explain

Although we are not currently using an internal price on water, we are currently discussing possible options for implementing one at selected sites, for example those located within basins that are highly water stressed in anticipation of future water price increases, as part of our 2030 sustainability strategy.

### W7.5

(W7.5) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

	Products and/or services classified as low water impact	Definition used to classify low water impact	Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact	Please explain
Row 1	Yes	Products which contribute to prevent the loss of water during the use phase of this product.	<Not Applicable>	The production quality and the material selection ensure that leakages are prevented. This applies to several products of ContiTech (e.g. hoses and pipes).

## W8. Targets

---

### W8.1

---

#### (W8.1) Do you have any water-related targets?

Yes

### W8.1a

---

#### (W8.1a) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

	Target set in this category	Please explain
Water pollution	No, but we plan to within the next two years	Currently we are evaluating if a target which is focused on "water pollution" could be implemented.
Water withdrawals	Yes	<Not Applicable>
Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services	Yes	<Not Applicable>
Other	No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	For us, an additional category is not relevant

### W8.1b

---

#### (W8.1b) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

##### Target reference number

Target 1

##### Category of target

Water withdrawals

##### Target coverage

Company-wide (direct operations only)

##### Quantitative metric

Reduction in withdrawals per revenue

##### Year target was set

2019

##### Base year

2019

##### Base year figure

0

##### Target year

2030

##### Target year figure

48

##### Reporting year figure

26

##### % of target achieved relative to base year

54.1666666666667

##### Target status in reporting year

Underway

##### Please explain

According to our environmental strategy, we aim to a 4% reduction of water withdrawal per year based on sales in water stress high risk areas.

In 2022, we already achieved 54% of our target due to the implemented water reduction measures and the increase in sales.

(Note: This target was newly implemented in 2019. Due to the strong decline in production and in sales - caused by the COVID-19 pandemic - the figures of the reporting year 2020 and 2021 were just slightly comparable to the figures of 2019.)

---

##### Target reference number

Target 2

##### Category of target

Water withdrawals

##### Target coverage

Company-wide (direct operations only)

##### Quantitative metric

Reduction in withdrawals per revenue



**Year target was set**

2019

**Base year**

2019

**Base year figure**

1

**Target year**

2030

**Target year figure**

76

**Reporting year figure**

74

**% of target achieved relative to base year**

97.3333333333334

**Target status in reporting year**

Underway

**Please explain**

According to our environmental strategy, we aim to a 2% reduction of water withdrawal per year based on sales in water stress medium and low risk areas.

In 2022, we already achieved 54% of our target due to the implemented water reduction measures and the increase in sales.

(Note: This target was newly implemented in 2019. Due to the strong decline in production and in sales - caused by the COVID-19 pandemic - the figures of the reporting year 2020 and 2021 were just slightly comparable to the figures of 2019.)

**Target reference number**

Target 3

**Category of target**

Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) services

**Target coverage**

Company-wide (direct operations only)

**Quantitative metric**

Other, please specify (Providing access to safely managed Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) in workplace)

**Year target was set**

2015

**Base year**

2015

**Base year figure**

99

**Target year**

2100

**Target year figure**

100

**Reporting year figure**

100

**% of target achieved relative to base year**

100

**Target status in reporting year**

Underway

**Please explain**

In all plants operated by Continental, WASH Services are provided to our workers as per our company policy. An internal audit of our production locations with regard to this and other health and safety policies is conducted on an annual basis. All Continental locations are fully equipped with the necessary installations according to WASH services. However, we continue to provide all necessary equipment in the following years as well.

**W9. Verification**

**W9.1**

**(W9.1) Do you verify any other water information reported in your CDP disclosure (not already covered by W5.1a)?**

No, but we are actively considering verifying within the next two years

## W10. Plastics

### W10.1

(W10.1) Have you mapped where in your value chain plastics are used and/or produced?

	Plastics mapping	Value chain stage	Please explain
Row 1	Not mapped – but we plan to within the next two years	<Not Applicable>	

### W10.2

(W10.2) Across your value chain, have you assessed the potential environmental and human health impacts of your use and/or production of plastics?

	Impact assessment	Value chain stage	Please explain
Row 1	Not assessed – but we plan to within the next two years	<Not Applicable>	

### W10.3

(W10.3) Across your value chain, are you exposed to plastics-related risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business? If so, provide details.

	Risk exposure	Value chain stage	Type of risk	Please explain
Row 1	Not assessed – but we plan to within the next two years	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	

### W10.4

(W10.4) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?

	Targets in place	Target type	Target metric	Please explain
Row 1	Yes	Waste management	Increase the proportion of recyclable plastic waste that we collect, sort, and recycle	We have a target to increase the recovery quota in operations to 95% until 2030.

### W10.5

(W10.5) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.

	Activity applies	Comment
Production of plastic polymers	No	No additional comment
Production of durable plastic components	No	No additional comment
Production / commercialization of durable plastic goods (including mixed materials)	Yes	No additional comment
Production / commercialization of plastic packaging	No	No additional comment
Production of goods packaged in plastics	Yes	No additional comment
Provision / commercialization of services or goods that use plastic packaging (e.g., retail and food services)	Yes	No additional comment

### W10.7

(W10.7) Provide the total weight of plastic durable goods/components sold and indicate the raw material content.

Row 1

Total weight of plastic durable goods/components sold during the reporting year (Metric tonnes)

Raw material content percentages available to report

% virgin fossil-based content

<Not Applicable>

% virgin renewable content

<Not Applicable>

% post-industrial recycled content

<Not Applicable>

% post-consumer recycled content

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

W10.8

(W10.8) Provide the total weight of plastic packaging sold and/or used, and indicate the raw material content.

	Total weight of plastic packaging sold / used during the reporting year (Metric tonnes)	Raw material content percentages available to report	% virgin fossil-based content	% virgin renewable content	% post-industrial recycled content	% post-consumer recycled content	Please explain
Plastic packaging sold	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>
Plastic packaging used		Please select	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	

W10.8a

(W10.8a) Indicate the circularity potential of the plastic packaging you sold and/or used.

	Percentages available to report for circularity potential	% of plastic packaging that is reusable	% of plastic packaging that is technically recyclable	% of plastic packaging that is recyclable in practice at scale	Please explain
Plastic packaging sold	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>
Plastic packaging used	Please select	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	<Not Applicable>	

W11. Sign off

W-FI

(W-FI) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

W11.1

(W11.1) Provide details for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP water response.

	Job title	Corresponding job category
Row 1	Chief Executive Officer (CEO)	Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

SW. Supply chain module

SW0.1

(SW0.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

	Annual revenue
Row 1	39408900000

SW1.1

(SW1.1) Could any of your facilities reported in W5.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

This is confidential

SW1.2

(SW1.2) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
Row 1	No, this is confidential data	We consider this information as confidential

SW2.1

(SW2.1) Please propose any mutually beneficial water-related projects you could collaborate on with specific CDP supply chain members.

SW2.2

(SW2.2) Have any water projects been implemented due to CDP supply chain member engagement?

No

SW3.1

(SW3.1) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization's products or services.

Submit your response

In which language are you submitting your response?

English

Please confirm how your response should be handled by CDP

	I understand that my response will be shared with all requesting stakeholders	Response permission
Please select your submission options	Yes	Public

Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Yes, CDP may share our Main User contact details with the Pacific Institute

Please confirm below

I have read and accept the applicable Terms